Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia

Public Hearing

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice Austin Cullen

Held at:

Vancouver, British Columbia via video link

Tuesday, June 9, 2020

APPEARANCES

Brock Martland, QC Patrick McGowan Kyle McCleery

Cullen Commission

Cherisse Friesen Chantelle Rajotte Jacqueline Hughes

B.C. (Ministry of Finance and Gaming Policy

and Enforcement Branch)

Judith Hoffmann Ashley Gardner

Canada

Ludmila Herbst, QC Catherine George

Law Society of B.C.

Ron Usher Society of Notaries Public of B.C.

William Smart, QC B.C. Lottery Corporation

Mark Skwarok Melanie Harmer

Great Canadian Gaming Corporation

Christine Mainville Robert Kroeker

Robin McFee, QC Maya Ollek

James Lightbody

Chris Weafer Patrick Weafer B.C. Real Estate Association

Jitesh Mistry B.C. Government and Service Employees' Union

Carina Chiu Morgan Camley **BMW**

Latoya Farrell B.C. Civil Liberties Association

Jo-Anne Stark Kevin Westell

Canadian Bar Association, B.C. Branch

APPEARANCES, continued

Kevin Westell Criminal Defence Advocacy Society

Kevin Comeau Transparency International Coalition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Witnesses called for the Commission:	
CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT ROBERT GILCLHRIST INSPECTOR LESLIE STEVENS RYLAND WELLWOOD Examination by Mr. McCleery	2
EXHIBITS	
37 CISC Request from Cullen Commission Member Agencies	12

1 Vancouver, B.C. 2 June 9, 2020 3 4 THE REGISTRAR: Good morning, everyone. The hearing 5 is resumed. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. 7 Martland, just before we get started with Mr. McCleery and his panel of witnesses, there is one 8 9 issue I just wanted to raise, it's by way of 10 housekeeping, and that is that yesterday Ms. 11 Latimer referred to a consultation paper 12 concerning the beneficial ownership registry. 13 don't think it was marked as an exhibit, and as 14 far as I recall, it was only referred to by Mr. 15 Comeau in passing in his cross-examination of Dr. 16 Levi and Dr. --MR. MARTLAND: 17 Reuter. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: -- Reuter. Thank you. And I don't 19 know whether it was Ms. Latimer's intention to 20 have it marked or not, or whether your view is 21 that it should be marked at this stage. MR. MARTLAND: 22 Sure, and I -- I hesitate to guess at 23 the answer out of concern Ms. Latimer says I get 24 it wrong. I'll make a note and follow up. 25 Perhaps we could leave it on this footing. 26 can assume it's not being led as an exhibit 27 unless -- unless there's some different view of 28 it, and then we can revisit it. So I'll follow 29 up, but I think we could probably leave it that 30 we expect it not to be marked as an exhibit, 31 unless I raise it perhaps at a break or 32 something. 33 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 34 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. 35 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. McCleery. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 36 MR. McCLEERY: Today we 37 have a panel of three witnesses, including Chief Superintendent Rob Gilchrist, of the Criminal 38 Intelligence Service Canada, and Inspector Leslie 39 40 Stevens and Mr. Ryland Wellwood, both of the 41 Criminal Intelligence Service British 42 Columbia/Yukon Territory. The panel is scheduled to give evidence today and tomorrow, and I expect 43 44 we'll have sufficient time to complete their 45 evidence over those two days. And I'll do my

best to give you an estimate of how much time we

are likely to need tomorrow, at the end of

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

today's proceedings.

- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. McCleery.
- MR. McCLEERY: And I understand the witnesses prefer to affirm.
- THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. May I ask the witnesses to unmute yourselves. Thank you. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, please state your full name and spell your first name and last name for the record.
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, my name is Chief Superintendent Robert Gilchrist. My first name is spelled R-o-b-e-r-t, and my family name is spelled G-i-l-c-h-r-i-s-t.
- THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Inspector Stevens, please state your full name and spell your first name and last name for the record.
- MS. STEVENS: My full name is Leslie Ann Stevens, L-e-s-l-i-e, middle name is A-n-n, last name is S-t-e-v-e-n-s.
- THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Mr. Wellwood, please state your full name and spell your first name and last name for the record.
- MR. WELLWOOD: My name is Ryland John Wellwood, first name R-y-l-a-n-d, surname W-e-l-l-w-o-o-d.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness called for the Commission, affirmed.

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness called for the Commission, affirmed.

RYLAND WELLWOOD, witnesses called for the Commission, affirmed.

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you.

EXAMINATION BY MR. McCLEERY:

Q Thank you, and good morning, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, Inspector Stevens and Mr. Wellwood. I could hear all of you just fine in the affirmation. Can you hear me, as well?

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I can. Thank you.
- Q I'd like to begin by asking each of you to speak a little bit about your current roles and your professional backgrounds. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, I'll begin with you. Can you please tell us what your current position is and describe your responsibilities?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, my current position, I'm the Director General of the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada, or CISC is the abbreviation. And, as well, I'm a regular member with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, at the rank of Chief Superintendent. In my current role, I've been the Director General of CISC since September of 2018, and as the Director General, I provide executive level leadership to a multidisciplinary team responsible for assessing the threat of serious and organized crime impacting Canada. I lead in the implementation of projects at the national level involving Canada's 10 Provincial Intelligence Bureaus and the CISC network, comprised of approximately 400 member agencies. I participate in national level committees with regards to operationalizing intelligence, and inform our member agencies, as well as government and the public in relation to serious and organized crime.
- Q Thank you. And can you tell us a little bit about your career trajectory prior to taking on this current role?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. I joined the RCMP in 1989, following which I did approximately three years of uniform what I would refer to as frontline policing. Since that time, the majority of my career has been in relation to organized crime in a variety of federal policing roles within the RCMP.

In general, I've spent a little over a decade in various criminal intelligence roles within policing. I've spent approximately seven years in international policing, and the remainder of my career was in other positions.

Prior to becoming the Director General of CISC, I worked as a director responsible for the international operations and policing development, within the RCMP, for a period of approximately two years, from August of 2016 till

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

August of 2018. And in that role, I was responsible for our liaison officers who were posted in strategic locations around the globe, as well as other international policing initiatives with the RCMP.

From approximately February 2015 till July of 2016, I was the Director of Interpol Ottawa, Europol Ottawa, which is the Canadian offices responsible for liaising with those two multilateral organizations.

From December of 2011 to January of 2015, I worked as a senior liaison officer, a departmental liaison officer, between the RCMP and Global Affairs Canada. And from January of 2009 till approximately November of 2011, I had a previous position at the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada. I was an inspector at the time and I performed the role of Director of National Intelligence Officers, who are responsible for collecting intelligence from member agencies across the country.

And that would, in essence, summarize the positions that I - the majority of positions that I've held at the executive level, and prior to that, I was involved in a number of, as I mentioned earlier, investigative and intelligence positions throughout my career.

- Q Thank you very much. Inspector Stevens, I'll turn to you next. Can you tell us a little bit about your current position and responsibilities?
- MS. STEVENS: I'm currently the Bureau Director at CISBC/Yukon. I've been in this role since November of 2017. My main role here is to oversee the day to day activities of the Bureau. It's a mainly administrative function. A staff of 18, which includes two analyst supervisors, which I consider to be my subject matter experts, one of which is also on the panel today with us.

Prior to coming to the Bureau -- well, actually I'm in my 28th year of policing. I'm ar inspector with the Vancouver Police Department, as the Bureau is an integrated unit, and I'm seconded from the VPD. And I report administratively up to -- through the RCMP.

Prior to coming here, I was the District Commander for Southeast Vancouver for three years, and prior to that, I was in charge of

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Professional Standards for three years after my promotion to inspector in 2012.

My former experience as a sergeant was mainly running the business, which was HR, Planning and Research, and financial crime before that, as an investigator, and 10 years on the road as a police constable.

- Q Thank you. Mr. Wellwood, same questions to you. Can you tell us a little bit about your current position and responsibilities and what you did in your career prior to taking on your current role?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Certainly. I'm currently, the Analytics Manager at Criminal Intelligence Service B.C./Yukon, CISBC/Yukon, responsible for strategic partnerships, special projects and collection and collation of information for CISBC/Yukon. I'm also a civilian member with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.

I came to CISBC in February of 2015, I've held a variety of roles at the Bureau since the time that I arrived, including oversight of the team responsible for analysis and intelligence, in addition to the roles that I just previously described.

I joined the RCMP in 2009 as a criminal intelligence analyst. I have participated in both contract policing environments, as well as criminal intelligence. Previously, within contract policing, responsibilities included crime analysis, business intelligence and criminal intelligence. And I have worked in a variety of roles, including supporting investigative teams, strategic planning and advice as part of a senior management team for a detachment prior to joining CISBC/Yukon.

- Q Thank you. I'm going to ask some questions now about the agencies the three of you work for. And Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, I'll begin with you. Can you please tell us a little bit more about the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada, including its mandate, structure, activities?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, my pleasure. Prior to moving to that point, though, there was one aspect, Mr. McCleery, if I may, with regards to my last response that I think is helpful for the Commission. I just wanted to underline that

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

throughout my career, which I've spoken about having significant experience in relation to organized crime, however, I did want to underline that my specific career trajectory is not including expert specialization in money laundering. My exposure to money laundering has been as one of many attributes or aspects that are generally relevant to organized crime groups. Thank you for that clarification.

MR. GILCHRIST: Thank you. With regards to the Criminal Intelligence Service Canada. As I mentioned earlier, I've had the privilege of working as the Director General of that organization. CISC was founded in 1970, and it's in order to unite Canada's criminal intelligence community at the municipal, provincial and federal levels, to effectively and efficiently combat organized crime and serious crimes relating to or affecting Canada.

The interesting thing about CISC is that it's an umbrella organization that brings together those three levels of law enforcement agencies, as I've mentioned, at the municipal, provincial and federal levels. And we have approximately 400 member agencies. CISC supports the effort to reduce the harm caused by serious and organized crime through the delivery of criminal intelligence products and services.

The mandate of CISC is outlined in its constitution, and it's to lead the strategic and operational intelligence initiatives to combat organized crime and serious crimes related to it, in Canada, and to help ensure the timely production and exchange of criminal information and intelligence among the law enforcement community, in support of the Canadian law enforcement strategy on organized crime.

The strategy that I've just referenced, that was adopted in 2011 by the National Executive Committee of CISC, and the strategy is a collaborative effort of both intelligence and operation -- operation sectors to detect, reduce, disrupt and prevent organized and serious crimes in Canada through the timely sharing of information and intelligence.

With regards to structure of CISC. CISC consists of a central bureau working in

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

partnership, in close partnership with 10 provincial bureaus. Central Bureau reports to CISC's National Executive Committee and is administrative stewarded by National Police Services, which is a business lying within the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. CISC Central Bureau is located in Ottawa, and is staffed primarily by RCMP personnel, and selects secondments from other member agencies.

The Bureau is comprised of criminal intelligence analysts within the Strategic Intelligence Analysis section, and as well, has personnel that are responsible for the CISC intelligence database, which is referred to as the Automated Criminal Intelligence Information System, or the abbreviation of ACIIS.

With regards to structure moving beyond Central Bureau, each province has a provincial intelligence bureau. For example, the Criminal Intelligence Service British Columbia/Yukon Territory. Each provincial bureau is overseen by a provincial executive committee which provides its governance, leadership, advice, strategic and operational direction to the provincial bureau, and as well, to a provincial entity referred to as the provincial CIROC, which is the Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime.

My position as Director General of CISC is the Chief Executive Officer of CISC, appointed by the National Executive Committee. Each of the provincial bureaus is led by a provincial bureau director, who is the senior official responsible for the operations of a provincial bureau. For example, joining me on the panel today, Inspector Leslie Stevens, as introduced, is the Provincial Bureau Director for CISBC/Yukon Territory.

The relationship with regards to provincial bureaus and the central bureau, it truly is a partnership that, from my perspective, is very productive and professional. And I'm speaking there with regards to provincial bureaus in general, and as well, specifically with regards to CISBC. And we enjoy regular and ongoing interaction between the Provincial Bureau in B.C. and Central Bureau.

With regard to the products that we produce, specifically with regards to intelligence

R

Q

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

assessments, CISC produces assessments that are focused nationally, including international implications of organized crime impacting Canada. Provincial bureaus are generally typically more focused on specific — on a specific province or provinces in a region that the bureau represents, and the serious and organized crime threats that are impacting it.

The -- I've spoken a little bit about the National Executive Committee, and Mr. McCleery, I can further provide a bit of detail there, given that it is the governance body for CISC. National Executive Committee is a committee that represents the three levels of law enforcement that I have referred to earlier. It is chaired by the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Its Vice Chair is the Commissioner of Police. the Ontario Provincial Police. And it represents a number of police services, major police services, from across Canada are representatives on the National Executive Committee. In total, there's approximately 26 senior policing executives that participate in that committee.

In addition to designated major police services that are members of that committee, I've mentioned earlier how governance at the provincial level is derived from provincial executive committees, and so each of those provincial executive committees has a chairperson and they, as well, sit as part of the National Executive Committee at the national level.

That's a brief overview of our governance with regards to the National Executive Committee. Thank you. You mentioned a little bit about the staffing of the Central Bureau, including that it, you know, consists primarily of RCMP personnel. Can you give us a rough idea of how many personnel or how many staff members are in the Central Bureau?

MR. GILCHRIST: I don't typically disclose exact staff numbers, simply because for reasons of operational security, in that if I disclose, or when I disclose exact numbers, that may provide benefit to organized crime groups who are then able to use that piece of information with other pieces of available information, which could lead them to have an awareness of areas of which we

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

focus on, and more importantly, it may allow them to have information as to areas that we do not focus on, which would present an operational security risk.

I will say, however, though, that Central Bureau, it's what I would consider a small to medium-size bureau. I will indicate that as far as intelligence analysts, we have less than 20, and I think that broad parameter provides some insight into the size of the analytical area. And as I've mentioned earlier, we have other resources that relate to the administration of our national intelligence database in addition to the analytical component.

- Q Thank you, that's -- that's helpful. Inspector Stevens, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has described the provincial bureaus in general. Can you tell us a little bit more about the British Columbia provincial bureau specifically, and its activities and structure?
- MS. STEVENS: If you don't mind, I'll read the mandate right from our Constitution -- O Sure.
- MS. STEVENS: -- to ensure that I don't miss anything.
- Q Certainly.
- MS. STEVENS: It's very similar to what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist gave you. So, Article 2 of our Constitution:

The mandate of CISBC/YT is to be a strategically focused organization which ensures the timely production and exchange of criminal information and intelligence among CISBC/YT member agencies through analytical investigation. It shall provide facilities for the collection, analysis and dissemination of significant criminal intelligence to aid in combatting the spread of organized and serious crime in British Columbia and the Yukon Territory in Canada.

So very -- very similar to what Chief Superintendent Rob Gilchrist gave. In terms of our bureau and reporting, we report up to the Provincial Executive Committee. Our Provincial Executive Committee is made up of up to 16 committee members, 12 of which represent the

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

municipal police departments in British Columbia, and that includes the Transit Police, and then four positions for the RCMP one is "M" Division, which is Yukon Division. That's the Commanding Officer. The Commanding Officer of CFSEU, Combined Forces Special Enforcement Unit, and two here at "E" Division, the Commanding Officer of the Assistant -- or the Commissioner, the Assistant Commissioner, and also the -- the head of the Specialized Intelligence Operations Section. So we [indiscernible - break in recording] a committee of up to 16 people.

Our current PEC Chair, or Provincial Executive Committee Chair, is Assistant Commissioner Dwayne McDonald. He's just taken on the role a couple of weeks ago, and they're to give us strategic direction in terms of what we spend our energy and focus on. And our product is mainly for their eyes and also for the eyes of CISC.

- Q Thank you, and can you tell us, from the perspective of the Provincial Bureau, how the relationship between the Provincial Bureau and Central Bureau works?
- MS. STEVENS: We have a fantastic relationship with the Central Bureau. I'm certain that pretty much every day someone within our office is in contact with someone within their office. I have frequent interaction with Chief Superintendent Gilchrist as well. We have a very collegial relationship and we assist each other and support each other in any way that we can. He's been very, very supportive of the B.C. bureau since I've been here, or since he's -- he's been in charge of CISC.

We -- our product, our main product, is our provincial threat assessments, and our main deliverable to CISC is this product. However, they also do a lot of other research and they produce other documents which we support here in B.C., so we are often part of working groups or they will reach out to us for us to provide -- to do some research and provide information and intelligence that we have.

So it's a sharing that goes both ways, and they are always very inclusive and ensure that we're aware of what they're doing and ask us for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

input along the way.

- Q Thank you. And does the Provincial Bureau have a direct relationship with other provincial bureaus, or is that interaction primarily through the Central Bureau?
- MS. STEVENS: No, we have interaction with other bureaus. Usually it's all the bureau directors reaching out to each other to get some generalized administrative -- most of their directors are very much bogged down with administration of their bureaus, but sometimes there are specific instances where, on different topics, they need -- people are researching for different areas of criminal markets. Usually it is through CISC who is the umbrella who's leading those discussions, but we will reach out to each other directly, but generally as a group, but if necessary, especially western provinces, if necessary, we will just reach out to who we need But we do get together twice a year physically. Who knows what that's going to look like in the future. And we are in contact and very supportive of each other, as well.
- Q Thank you. Mr. Wellwood, I'm going to ask you now some questions about the membership in the Provincial Bureau.
- MR. McCLEERY: Madam Registrar, to assist, then, I wonder if you might pull up the first document for this panel, which I believe is number 37 on your list. Thank you, and I wonder if you might scroll down just a little bit, the heading "Category 1 Agencies" so we can see what's below that. Thank you.
- Q Mr. Wellwood, have you seen this document before? MR. WELLWOOD: I have.
- Q And to the best of your knowledge, is this a current list of the member agencies in the Criminal Intelligence Service British Columbia/Yukon Territory?
- MR. WELLWOOD: It does appear to be, yes.
- MR. McCLEERY: And maybe, Madam Registrar, you can scroll down to the second page just so we can get a look at that, as well. Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I'd ask that this be marked as the next exhibit.
 - THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be Exhibit 37.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 37.

EXHIBIT 37: CISC Request from Cullen Commission
Member Agencies

MR. McCLEERY: Thank you. And, Madam Registrar, you can safely take that down now. Thank you.

- Mr. Wellwood, this list of member agencies is divided into two groups, Category 1 and Category 2 agencies. Can you please explain what these different categories of membership mean?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Certainly. Mr. McCleery, I just wanted to firstly apologize. I had received a timeout notice from the Zoom and was kicked off of the videoconference for about 60 seconds a few minutes ago. I managed to join back in. So, in case anything happens, or I cut out, that's most likely what is taking place.
- Q Well --
- MR. WELLWOOD: Previously --
- Q -- I'm trying to -- I'll just maybe make a note that we can check on that during the break and make sure that we're good to go the rest of the way. Carry on.
- MR. WELLWOOD: Thank you. As Chief Superintendent Gilchrist noted, I, too, want to just make mention that I am not an expert with respect to money laundering in general or any particular avenues within money laundering, and that my involvement within criminal intelligence and money laundering stems from the work done at CISBC/Yukon as part of our look at organized crime.

With respect to Category 1/Category 2 agencies. A Category 1 agency is a law enforcement agency with powers under a *Police Act*, either federally or provincially. And, yes, the lists that appeared on the document did appear to be all of our Category 1 member agencies.

Category 2 agency is an agency that has some specific law enforcement responsibilities under either federal or provincial legislation. And the list provided for member agencies within Category 2 on the document did also appear to match what we have as partner agencies for Category 2.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- Q Thank you, and can you tell us a little bit about the role Category 1 and Category 2 agencies would play in the work of the Provincial Bureau in terms of the information they might provide or the information they might receive from the Provincial Bureau?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Category 1 agencies are, understandably, our closest partners within the Bureau, outside of other provincial bureaus or CISC, as Central Bureau. Category 1 agencies, we rely upon for information sharing and situational awareness regarding organized crime, as well as criminal markets. Without those relationships with our Category 1 agencies, the work that we do at CISBC/Yukon would be incredibly difficult and probably not feasible.

Category 2 agencies, the relationship isn't -- isn't as close in general. However, we do rely on Category 2 agencies, as well, for information sharing, usually more specific regarding projects that we've undertaken, or reports or topics that we are examining or assessing.

As far as information sharing out from the Bureau, with respect to Category 1 agencies, we provide access to our products that are produced at CISBC/Yukon to Category 1 agencies. Category 2 agencies may or may not receive any products in a given year from CISBC/Yukon, depending on the nature of the product, the sensitivity and the dissemination that has been indicated.

- Q Thank you. And I understand there is a third category of membership; is that correct?
- MR. WELLWOOD: You are correct. There is a Category 3 member, as well.
- Q And are there currently any Category 3 members in CISBC/Yukon?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Currently, no, there are not any Category 3 members for CISBC/Yukon.
- Q Okay. Could you give us a sense of what the third category of membership would -- would entail, or what type of organizations might be Category 3 members, were there any?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Sure. As defined in our Constitution, a Category 3 agency has no law enforcement specific function, but is -- has some form of benefits mutually with the Bureau. An example,

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

within the work that we do at a strategic level for criminal intelligence, we may look to a Category 3 agency to bring an agency onboard, for the purpose of looking at emerging trends and/or concerns, or also for the purpose of examining the developing indicators regarding different types of criminality within organized crime. From the perspective to provide an example, we may be looking at a if-- if we undertook a project regarding fraud, we may be looking to a private institution or agency regarding statistical information and/or the exchange of information regarding indicators that are used within their own organization, to help identify, prevent and/or classify fraud. And in an example like this, I would look to an agency that meets that Category 3 definition to be something like a financial institution or bank.

- Q Thank you very much.
- MR. McCLEERY: I'd like to turn now to a report produced by the CISC. Madam Registrar, can you please display document number 38 on your list? Thank you very much.
- Q And, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, I'll ask you a few questions about this document, if I may. First, do you see the document on the screen before you?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I do.
- O And you're familiar with this document?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I am.
- Q And this was published by the CISC in 2019 during your tenure as Director General; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct. And, Mr. McCleery, with regards to membership, which was just discussed, I would have a couple of points to add, if it pleases the Commission, specifically with regards to access to national products, specifically with regards to our intelligence database.
- Q Certainly. I'll just, maybe for the benefit of the Commissioner and the participants, I'll note that this report has already been filed as Appendix E to Exhibit 4, so we won't ask that it be marked again, and maybe we can just take that down and take a step backwards and hear your comments on membership. Please go ahead.
- MR. GILCHRIST: So, Mr. McCleery, a few brief comments

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

with regards to membership. The membership in CISC, it is intended to reflect a broad and diverse composition of the law enforcement community, in order to facilitate a united approach to addressing organized and serious crime, with -- and serious crime with a nexus to organized crime, at the three levels that I've referred to earlier. And as has been described by Mr. Wellwood, access membership is allocated by the Provincial Executive Committee at the provincial level.

However, the point that I wanted to expand upon is that access to the CISC national products, as well as to our national intelligence database, is not contingent on membership. Rather, it's done in accordance with our policies which have been approved by our National Executive Committee.

With regards to accessing our products, as well as our intelligence database, it's specifically controlled in that way, to ensure compliance with regards to information sharing, to ensure compliance with applicable federal and provincial legislation and policies with regards to privacy and balancing those against the need to share. And the National Executive Committee determines who shall have access to national CISC products and that are produced and distributed by Central Bureau. And the Provincial Executive Committees provide that authority and governance to provincial products that are produced.

With regards to accessing the CISC national products, and in particular, the intelligence system, our intelligence database, we use the same categorization system that's been discussed with regards to Category 1, which is our police agencies, and they have access to our ACIIS database. However, within that, it's only full-time or designated intelligence officers and support staff and full-time organized crime investigators who can have access to our ACIIS database. So there's a fairly well-defined subset within -- within law enforcement.

With regards to Category 2, which was previously described as an agency with a specific law enforcement function, they can contribute information to national products or to ACIIS.

 Q

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

However, that's done through the provincial bureaus. And they do not have direct access to our products or to our ACIIS database. Subject to statutory, security and third party considerations, they may have access to this information by submitting a written request through their provincial bureau.

We have a category at the national level referred to as Category 2A, which is, once again, this is agencies who have -- with a specific law enforcement rule -- role, excuse me -- but they're not a policing agency. And this Category 2A is a subset which is approved by our National Executive Committee, and they -- it's essentially an exemption to the normal access roles based on Category 2, and it can provide enhanced access based specifically on which agency it is and the type of information that's required to be accessed.

Category 3 is described agency with a role complimentary to law enforcement with regards to the national information in our database. They may contribute data to ACIIS through the Provincial Bureau, however, they have no access to ACIIS data.

I just wanted to provide that clarification because I do think it's important when we're speaking about information sharing, because that structure is specifically set up to balance the need to have this united organization with a broad range of stakeholders, but to balance that against the legislative requirements of privacy and the relevant policies with regards to sharing of information.

Thank you for that, and I'll maybe take this opportunity to encourage all three of the witnesses, if at any point I've posed a question to somebody else and you have something you'd like to add or contribute, please don't hesitate to let me know or jump in to add your comments.

So if we can then -- I'd like to turn back to this report that we introduced a moment ago and -- sorry, I'm just receiving a correction. It think I may have misidentified where the report had been filed. It's actually Appendix E to Exhibit 3, not Exhibit 4, so if anyone's having difficulty finding it, that's where it is.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, if we can turn back to this 2019 Public Report on Organized Crime. Can you tell us what the purpose of this report was and why it was produced?

MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, thank you. The Public Report on Organized Crime was released in December 18th of 2019, and it's a strategic assessment that provides an overview of the Canadian criminal landscape and the activities of organized crime groups that operate within it. The Public Report on Organized Crime was produced subsequent to a previous document, which is the National Criminal Intelligence Estimate on the Canadian Criminal Marketplace, which was specific to the drug markets. And so the distinction I'm drawing there is that the Public Report on Organized Crime was a wider scope in the topics that it covered, as opposed to the previous public report on the drug markets.

The Public Report on Organized Crime that you've noted as an exhibit, it combines federal, provincial and municipal law enforcement reporting, open source reporting, and as well, intelligence from other domestic and international government agencies to assess the significant organized crime threats in Canada.

I would note that most criminal intelligence produced by CISC is shared only with law enforcement agencies. However, CISC is increasingly releasing information to the public in order to raise awareness about the nature and extent of organized crime in Canada.

Our National Executive Committee, which I referred to earlier in my testimony, has requested that CISC increasingly make national intelligence reporting available in a public version so that assessments -- so therefore assessments such as the ones that I've referred to, the one on the illicit drug markets, and as well, this report on organized crime, is moving CISC in that direction. In essence, the rationale is to promote informed awareness of the threats that organized crime poses to Canadians.

Canadian law enforcement has the longstanding benefit of knowing the various risks presented by organized crime, however, there's certainly an appetite amongst non-police

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

stakeholders, and as well, a need for that information by non-police stakeholders. And it's recognized that if we're going to have discussions around organized crime threats and how to combat those, it's thought to be helpful for stakeholders to be informed of this type of information.

A national -- the national perspective that's offered by the Public Report on Organized Crime helps ensure that law enforcement, government agencies, and the general Canadian public have a consistent view on organized crime, and reports such as this contribute to building and maintaining partnerships that are instrumental in our ability to combat these threats.

Essentially, publishing the Public Report on Organized Crime ensures that the public and other stakeholders have ready access to a national assessment on organized crime. Consumers of the Public Report on Organized Crime, and public reporting in general that's produced by CISC typically includes members of the general public, media representatives, academic researchers and other non-police government departments.

CISC looks at a variety of criminal markets that organized crime is involved in. And I would say that the scope of organized crime involvement is quite wide and, as well, is constantly changing, and we try to assess, as widely as possible, however, simply because of resources and multiple demands, we do prioritize our collection and assessment towards those higher level threats. For example, the criminal markets that are the most prolific or pose the greatest risk to Canadians. And therefore, when you look at the Public Report on Organized Crime, it is focused towards those higher level threats as opposed to a broad all-encompassing assessments of the landscape.

Then the Public Report on Organized Crime was published by placing a summary on the public-facing CISC webpage with a link through which members of the public could request a copy of the full report. In addition, it was disseminated through our CISC membership, including the provincial bureaus, for all of our members to use

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

as a public information document. And I would indicate that the Public Report on Organized Crime, it did generate some media attention, mostly from Quebec-based media outlets.

- Q Thank you for that. I wonder if we might turn -- I don't know if it's necessary to go there yourself, but page 1 of the report, there's a section titled "Integrated Threat Assessment Process." Can you please tell us what the Integrated Threat Assessment Process is and how it's used by the CISC?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Absolutely. It's an important discussion to have, so thank you for asking the question, because in essence, the Integrated Threat Assessment Process guides much of the intelligence collection that we do in relation to our national products.

The Integrated Threat Assessment Process is a process that reflects CISC's ability to work with our Canadian law enforcement partners at the three levels that I've mentioned, federal, municipal and provincial, across the country, and specifically to collect information in a consistent manner. It's a collaborative approach that was negotiated and supported by all provincial bureaus. As one can appreciate, given the number of the provincial bureaus and the number and variety of police services across Canada, the Integrated Threat Assessment Process is truly key to collecting and reporting in a standardized manner.

The Integrated Threat Assessment Process involves collecting from all sources of information, including both open and protected. However, it includes information that is foremost from police investigation files, and also includes information from other relevant government departments, such as Statistics Canada, Health Canada, Canada Border Services Agency, and FINTRAC, who all produce reporting that relates to the various criminal markets in one manner or another. And those departments that I've noted are simply examples. It would extend to other departments, both federal and provincial, that would produce -- and, as well, municipal -- that would produce any reporting that relates to serious and organized crime.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

As well, the Integrated Threat Assessment Process, it draws upon academic research or NGOs who conduct research in the serious and organized crime issues. And information that's included in the Integrated Threat Assessment Process is sourced back to the originating information source, to ensure that the reporting is based on actual reporting of one variety or another as opposed to simply rumours or innuendo.

Using the Integrated Threat Assessment Process in a cooperative and collaborative manner enables consistent data collection and reporting, and thereafter, the ability to compare and assess across provincial boundaries, which is very important, given CISC's mandate. The common processes are very helpful to CISC's ability to produce reporting that spans nationally.

Back in 2012, CISC's National Executive Committee, supported by the entity that I referred earlier, as the Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime, approved the establishment of a working group, an Integrated Threat Assessment Working Group, to develop and define a common threat measurement tool to assess organized crime groups across Canada. Subsequent to that, Central Bureau and each provincial bureau has adopted a common set of business rules for the application of the Integrated Threat Assessment Process that facilitates the scoring of the threat posed by the organized crime groups operating in their region.

I would note that while the scoring criteria and definitions are common in order to ensure that the criteria address regional distinctions, the weight applied to each criteria may differ as per regional priorities. For example, a province may score a particular group a high-level regional threat, for example, such as a street gang, whereas nationally that same group may rank as a moderate one, using the same criteria, but weighting them differently. And that's simply to ensure that we have the ability to provide assessments at not only at a national level, but at a provincial level, given the realities and the threats and issues that are faced in various — various forms across the country.

The threat scoring within the Integrated

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Threat Assessment Process is based on information and intelligence within the last two years ranked against eight standard threat measurement criteria, and these threat measurement criteria are identified in the Public Report on Organized Crime.

I would note that although older information and intelligence can provide a general context into a group's capabilities, it's not used to assess the current threat level.

The eight criteria, as noted in the Public Report on Organized Crime, is -- the first one is involvement in corruption or infiltration of law enforcement, security or government agencies.

The second criteria is the use of violence. The third criteria is involvement in the private sector. The fourth criteria is the geographical scope, or the criminal reach. The fifth criteria is the associations to other organized crime groups. The next criteria is involvement in criminal enterprise, multiple criminal enterprises, such as illicit drugs, financial crime and/or other illicit goods and services. The seventh criteria is technological capability, and the eighth criteria is specialized skills.

I would note that police agencies are not restricted to only collect and assess and report on those eight criteria, and indeed, some police services have additional assessment tools that they would use individually. However, the integrated threat assessment criteria are the standard criteria that have been agreed upon nationally and that enable assessments to be made at the national level.

I've listed in my testimony the eight criteria that are laid out in the Public Report on Organized Crime. At this point I would just like to make a connection between some of those criteria and how they relate specifically to the topic that we're discussing here today of money laundering.

There are a few existing criteria that do relate. For example, when I refer to involvement in the private sector, information may -- collecting against that threat criteria may surface information relating to businesses that are used by organized crime for money laundering.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Another threat criteria is involvement in criminal enterprise, and that may yield information relating to organized crime involvement in multiple criminal markets and roles within, for example, financial crime criminal market, illegal gaming criminal market, et cetera.

Another threat criteria referred to as specialized skills, that may include reporting of skills that align with money laundering typologies. And so, for example, there may be information that surfaces relating to accounting and business experience, legal expertise, real estate expertise, and investment expertise, all the examples of the types of information that may surface through that specialized skills requirement. Or, sorry, specialized skills threat -- threat measurement criteria.

And lastly, with regards to technological capability. That's another threat criteria that may service information relative to money laundering. For example, the use of the dark web or the use of encryption technologies.

MR. McCLEERY: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I note that -- I think Mr. Wellwood dropped off the call again briefly. I wonder if this might be an opportune time for a break and we can try to sort out some of those technical issues before we proceed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I think that sounds like a good idea. We will take 15 minutes.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 15-minute recess until 10:38 a.m. Please mute your mic and turn off your video. Thank you.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is resumed.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness, recalled.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness, recalled.

RYLAND WELLWOOD, witness, recalled.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. McCleery.

- MR. McCLEERY: Thank you. Mr. Wellwood, I'm pleased to see you're back with us. I hope we've sorted out the issues, and I apologize for those difficulties, and I'll be sure to keep an eye on your screen to make sure that you're with us moving forward.
- MR. WELLWOOD: Thank you, Mr. McCleery, and my apologies for being on the phone during the hearing earlier. I was talking to IT Support, but a huge thank you to them, they seem to have sorted it out.
- MR. McCLEERY: Excellent. I assumed that's who you were speaking with, so no trouble at all.

Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, when we left off,

EXAMINATION BY MR. McCLEERY, continuing:

you had just given us a very helpful description of the Integrated Threat Assessment Process and some of the connections between the threat scoring criteria and the issue of money laundering. I'm wondering now if you might be able to comment on the manner and frequency with which this threat assessment methodology is updated, to what degree it's a static tool, and

improvement?

MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. As I mentioned earlier, the
National Executive Committee put in place, in
2012, the working group for the Integrated Threat
Assessment Process. However, it is a process
that continues to be evergreen on a regular
basis. The Integrated Threat Assessment Process
is one that's updated and refined, as I
mentioned, on an ongoing basis. With regards to
that, the actual working group, it generally
meets two times a year by way of an in-person

meeting, and several times per year by way of

to what degree it's sort of subject to ongoing

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

teleconference. And this working group makes recommendations of any changes that are necessary to refine and improve the processes.

The -- It has been, over the years, I would describe as an iterative process in that as new ideas have come to the forefront on how to best gather, collect and assess and report on organized crime, then efforts are made through that working group to actually operationalize those ideas into the Integrated Threat Assessment Process.

For example, CISC is currently working on the Integrated Threat Assessment Process to enhance our ability to identify organized crime groups involved in money laundering. We're working closely with subject matter experts from across intelligence, from across the country, that are familiar with intelligence reporting and, as well, that are familiar with money laundering investigations. We're drawing upon that collective expertise from police agencies across the country to determine if there are any additional ways to ensure that money laundering is appropriately reflected in the Integrated Threat Assessment Process and by extension in the national intelligence products that are produced.

In this regard, we've established a national anti-money laundering working group, with the support of the provincial intelligence bureaus and, as well, the entity referred to earlier as CIROC, Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime, and representation from major police services from across the country, such as Vancouver Police Department, the RCMP, the OPP, the Provincial Police in Quebec, as well as partner agencies such as CRA, Canada Revenue Agency, Canada Border Services Agency, and FINTRAC.

Together, that collective expertise, together, to take a look at our existing criteria which, in our initial consultations with our membership, feedback that we received was that the existing criteria do provide opportunities for reporting on organized crime groups involved in money laundering. However, feedback from other member agencies was that there could potentially be an enhancement to that process in

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

order to make it increasingly explicitly clear with regards to the criteria specific to money laundering. And so those efforts are ongoing in the discussions and research to see if there's a way to evolve the Integrated Threat Assessment Process specific to money laundering and how it's being collected and assessed and reported on.

Other examples of the ongoing research and ongoing evergreening of the process is in the Public Report on Organized Crime. It refers to how a definition for key facilitators -- so if I could refer to page 2 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, it explains in that report that:

In November of 2018, CISC developed a common definition to identify potential Key Facilitators.

And that's another example of how the law enforcement community, pre-November 2018, had this Integrated Threat Assessment Process in place. However, it was recognized in -- you know, bringing the collective expertise from across the country, that we needed a standardized definition for key facilitators. So, very similar to what we're doing on money laundering at this point, it's a matter of bringing those -- the right expertise together, a very broad consultation and discussion, and coming up with what I would refer to as very practical and achievable ways of implementing that into the Integrated Threat Assessment Process.

Given that we represent a broad number of stakeholders, it's important that any refinements to the Integrated Threat Assessment Process reflect our collective needs and our collective abilities to actually implement those changes to the threat assessment process.

- Q Thank you. And I'll just ask, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist -- I appreciate, this is very detailed material -- it appears you're referring to some materials as you give evidence. Do you have notes that you're referring to?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. Yes, Mr. McCleery, I do have notes. I have two things in front of me. One is my notes and the other is copies of the reports that we're going to be discussing today, the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Public Report on Organized Crime and the National Criminal Intelligence Estimate. The notes provide me the ability to relay additional detail to the Commission, purely given the scope of the topics that we're discussing over the course of the two days of testimony.

- Q Thank you. You mentioned that one of the areas in which the integrated threat assessment methodology is being reviewed and potentially updated in around -- or better enhancing -- or, excuse me -- around the issue of money laundering. Are you able to tell us what led to this renewed or greater focus on the issue of money laundering?
- MR. GILCHRIST: It's -- there's a continuous appetite to improve our products. And, you know, it's very clear that over the last number of years there's been increasingly discussions around money laundering and its impacts to Canadians and involvement of organized crime. It certainly is not the only topic that is -- is of interest or concern to Canadian law enforcement, but it is a significant topic. And so as a result of that, that tends to generate interest in seeing, first of all, what are we producing, and is it feeding into that need of the Canadian law enforcement community. And secondly, are there ways to improve, are their different ways of doing business?

One of the things that I've noted at my time at CISC, and in fact, in my over 30 years of experience in policing, is that this is a very standard approach to the way that we do business. Constantly looking at what are we doing, reevaluating that. Are there shortcomings, are their strengths, how can we leverage the strengths and how can we close any intelligence gaps, and I refer specifically to this process.

- Thank you. You've spoken a little bit about the nature of the sources relied upon in -- in applying the Integrated Threat Assessment Process. Given those -- the nature of those sources, have the CISC identified any limitations or biases in a non-pejorative sense that might affect the intelligence products produced by the CISC?
- MR. GILCHRIST: It's a good question, because I guess

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

at the forefront the process is comprehensive and it is one that has taken significant discussion and negotiation to make sure that we have an approved upon standard for reporting, and so as a result, the reports that we're able to produce nationally, we are very proud of, given that it is our ability to draw upon that expertise of the three levels of law enforcement.

But having said that, with regards to limitations, what I would refer to there is that we're limited to the information that is detected or collected through the current process. While the intention is certainly to report on all organized crime groups that meet the definition of "criminal organization," which is defined in the Criminal Code, the reality is, is that resource levels and limitations result in efforts being prioritized at multiple levels. So whether that is at the central bureau level or the provincial bureau level or with our individual member services across the country.

Given limited analytical capacity, the criminal markets that we focus on in the national assessments are prioritized accordingly towards what are seen as the greatest threats. The process is limited to the information that is reported by originating agencies. For example, police services, our individual member police services, they must prioritize the use of their limited resources, and therefore not all organized crime groups are reported on. And that's reflected in the statistics which we can discuss perhaps in a moment in the Public Report on Organized Crime as to the number of organized crime groups that are assessed versus the overall number of organized crime groups.

The other point with regards to limitations that I would indicate is that resources dedicated to pure intelligence collection as opposed to being part of an investigative unit are generally limited, and therefore much of the information that surfaces through the Integrated Threat Assessment Process has originated from police investigations.

I would also take the Commission back to what I referenced earlier, the two-year rule around including information in the Integrated

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Threat Assessment Process. There are some organized crime groups that lack sufficient current reporting to be properly assessed, and therefore may drop off in the national reporting, despite still being criminally active. However, the groups are identified as being excluded within PTAs, and before being removed, consultation takes place between the original law enforcement agency that reported on the group and the provincial bureaus, in order to assess the impact of removing the groups from the provincial threat assessment, and by extension from the national threat assessments.

That is in effort to find the right balance between including information that still is relevant and timely in the assessments and avoiding a situation where the intelligence has become so stale-dated that it's no longer either perhaps accurate or timely. So the two-year rule is our best effort at balancing out those competing interests.

I would, as well, reference that much of organized crime is transnational in nature, and so a challenge is to ensure the sufficient information from foreign law enforcement is included in our assessment processes. And I would mention that improvements over the years have been made in this regard, in particular, through leveraging the RCMP's international policing program.

The last point I would have in relation to limitations to reference with regards to our Integrated Threat Assessment Process would be with regards to technology. While our criminal intelligence analysts do use available technologies to assist in automating the data collation and analysis -- for example, IBASE is a common technology that's used. However, it's simply to note that there are other modern robust tools, and in the absence of such tools, there may be linkages between the organized crime groups that go undetected. And so certainly our assessments are limited by the extent that the technology in the systems that we regularly use for analysis is updated.

Q Thank you for that. I'd like to turn now to the provincial bureau's use of the Integrated Threat

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Assessment Process. Mr. Wellwood, are you able to -- does the Provincial Bureau use the Integrated Threat Assessment Process as described by Chief Superintendent Gilchrist?

MR. WELLWOOD: We do.

- Q And Chief Superintendent Gilchrist mentioned that provincial bureaus are permitted to change weightings or maybe consider additional criteria not included in the Central Bureau's analysis. Has the Provincial Bureau adapted that analysis in a significant way to meet the local conditions or needs of British Columbia?
- We follow the ITA process and provide MR. WELLWOOD: that data and information to CISC to ensure that we're compliant in assisting with as uniform as possible look at organized crime across the country from a national perspective. We have not changed our weightings for the threat measurement criteria. I believe, to the best of my knowledge, we are still using the same weightings that CISC applies themselves. I can say that in the past we have applied additional criteria to look to try and differentiate between the highest threat groups in British Columbia, in an attempt to better inform our Executive Committee decision makers in CISC.
- Q Thank you. And can you speak to the sources of information used by the Provincial Bureau in applying the Integrated Threat Assessment Process?
- MR. WELLWOOD: My comments will be very similar to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's feedback and responses. We rely heavily on our Category 1 law enforcement partners. We also, where possible and available, work with our Category 2 agencies at a provincial or federal level for information. Again, sometimes more specific to criminal market surveys or assessments, compared to a provincial threat assessment that would feed into a national threat assessment.
- Q Thank you. And aside from the limitations discussed by Chief Superintendent Gilchrist on those sources of information, do you have any further thoughts or comments on the ways in which the information relied on by the Provincial Bureau may be limited or have an impact on some of the outcomes of the process?

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- MR. WELLWOOD: No, I do not. I think Chief Superintendent Gilchrist summed it up very nicely.
- Q Thank you very much. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, I'll come back to you now. We've spoken a little bit about the limits of the information coming into the CISC. I'd like to talk now a little bit about the limits that are placed on the information coming out at the other end of the process. I understand that there are certain limitations on the manner in which the CISC can use information and disseminate information. Can you tell us a little bit about what those limits are and what the rationale for them is?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I can. This is a very important consideration for CISC, and in many ways, it can be considered one of the cornerstones of how we operate, given that we are an umbrella organization that draws information and intelligence from such a wide membership of law enforcement across the country. And we operate strictly according to third party principles in that what I have referred to by way of third party rule principles is the ownership of specific information and intelligence rests with the originating agency who provided the information and cannot be used for any other purpose without the express permission of the originators.

As a result of that, CISC must be extremely careful with the information of its partner agencies, given that the inadvertent disclosure could impact ongoing court cases and/or current or future police investigations. If third party rule obligations were to be breached by CISC, it would have a lasting adverse impact on our ability to obtain the required information from our member agencies.

As I've mentioned briefly, ensuring the third party rule is respected is the cornerstone of proactive information sharing and is the cornerstone of how CISC operates, at all levels. Whether it's an individual analyst or whether it is at the executive level, third party rule is a rule that we apply each and every day of our -- of our work.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

I'd note, as well, that because in some instances criminal intelligence assessments are generated at the early phases of police investigations, CISC -- we may not even be aware or up to date on the current status of operational investigations. Our role is to inform and advise the operational components of the law enforcement community, and any operational police investigations are separate and distinct from the work that we do. And as a result of that, as I've referenced, we have to be extremely careful in our day to day handling of our partners' information, as if we were to breach that third party rule principle, and given the importance of that to our capability to collect nationally, I do not think that I'd be overstating that it would have a devastating impact on our ability to produce the assessments that we do produce.

It's for this reason that my comments during the testimony that I provide to the Commission will be kept at a strategic level, so as to not to delve into specific organized crime groups or individual criminals.

I think it's helpful, as well, to note that intelligence is not evidence. Intelligence is helpful for informing, advising and assisting a priority setting, however, by itself, it is not evidence for a criminal investigation. Evidence to support a judicial process would be gathered through a separate police investigation.

- Q Thank you. And Inspector Stevens, I'll ask you, the limitations that Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has described, those would apply to the Provincial Bureau and to your evidence and the evidence of Mr. Wellwood here today, as well?
- MS. STEVENS: Yes, agreed.

 Q Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, I'd like to take a bit of a step back now and talk about the types of reports and products that the CISC produces aside from the one that we've already looked at and obviously taking into account the comments you've just made on the extent to which you're able to discuss those. But can you tell us in a general sense the nature of the products and reports that the CISC produces using the Integrated Threat Assessment Process?

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I can, sir. The CISC produces an annual national threat assessment on serious and organized crime in Canada. These annual reports detail specific assessed organized crime groups and their criminal networks. The national threat assessments are not specific to money laundering. However, in instances where the Integrated Threat Assessment Process has identified money laundering as one of the criminal activities in which the organized crime group or network is involved, then information relating to those activities is included.

The national threat assessments are protected documents that contain information in relation to ongoing and future police investigations, and as a result, the reports are very limited in their distribution. The full national threat assessment is shared only with the National Executive Committee and the Provincial Executive Committees, and the entity that I referred to earlier as the Canadian Integrated Response to Organized Crime, and as well, with our provincial bureaus. And a redacted version is available to our accredited intelligence database users in which some particularly sensitive information is removed.

The other product that's produced by CISC, or principle product, is what's referred to as national criminal intelligence estimates, which are estimates that are focused on specific criminal markets. Although information obtained through the Integrated Threat Assessment Process forms the cornerstone of these estimates, subject matter experts from across government, as I've referred to earlier, contribute extensively to the documents, depending on the focus of the report. And so that, you'll recall in my testimony, I was referring to other agencies such as Health Canada, Statistics Canada, Canada Boarder Services Agency and FINTRAC, who all produce reporting that in one way or another intersects with organized crime criminal markets, and so we will draw upon their products as part of the national criminal intelligence estimates.

These estimates are generally produced on an annual basis, with a few exceptions. In the national criminal intelligence estimates, money

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission $\ensuremath{\mathsf{McCleery}}$

laundering is typically viewed by CISC as an enabler, and therefore is referenced in a variety of criminal markets. The national criminal intelligence estimates are protected reports, as reference is made to specific organized crime groups, and therefore relates to ongoing and future police investigations.

The audience for the national criminal intelligence estimate aligns with the security classification under which it is protected. For example, Protected B versions are typically only shared with Canadian police services, and Protected A versions, if produced, would be shared with other relevant government departments. And as I described earlier in my testimony, CISC has now begun publishing an unclassified or a public version for public dissemination, in order to enhance public transparency and inform discussions relating to organized crime and the criminal markets.

The national threat assessment and the national criminal intelligence estimates, those are what I would categorize as our main products that are produced at a national level. There's other reports, such as intelligence bulletins, that we would produce on an ad hoc basis on a variety of topics.

Looking back, specifically with regards to money laundering, in 2010, we produced an early warning assessment in relation to money laundering in virtual worlds. That protected document assessed if organized crime groups, notably, cybercriminals, could use the commercial activities in virtual social worlds to transfer illicit funds or conceal the origins of their criminal proceeds.

In 2012, we did an early warning assessment on the mobile wallet, and this protected assessment analyzed criminal implications for the implementation of mobile payments in Canada. And then more recently, in 2020, March of 2020, we produced an intelligence bulletin on the impact of COVID-19 on money laundering, and this was produced in the early phases of the COVID-19 pandemic and was very much based on preliminary indicators and forecasting potential developments in relation to money laundering, given the COVID-

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

19 pandemic.

And then in May of 2020, we produced a national intelligence estimate on money laundering and fraud. It's a Protected B strategic assessment that provides an overview of the scope and magnitude of money laundering and important fraud criminal markets in Canada and the role that serious and organized crime plays within those criminal markets. That protected version report was shared with Canadian police, including through our provincial bureaus, and CISC is currently working on a public version of that report, anticipated to be released in the summer.

That would summarize the -- that would summarize two things. One, the reporting that generally flows from the Integrated Threat Assessment Process, and then more specifically, reports that focus down onto the topic of money laundering.

- Q Thank you very much for that. I note you've indicated several previous reports, with some level of focus on money laundering. Just for the benefit of the record and the participants, for the reasons that you've discussed earlier, those are the reports that the CISC was not able to disclose to the Commission; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's correct. With the exception being that we are currently working on the public version of the last assessment that I spoke about, you are correct, we cannot release the protected version. However, we are in the process of reviewing that document in order to create a publicly accessible document which will be posted on our website.
- Q Thank you. And while those -- while those reports have not been disclosed, I understand -- it's correct that you're familiar with the analysis conducted and able to share some of those conclusions from those reports, within the limits that we've already talked about; is that correct?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I would -- I would frame that in the context of the more recent reporting, yes, however, the reporting that -- the two reports that go back to 2010 and 2012, because of the dated nature of those reports, I did not review

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

those in significant detail and would not envision that that would necessarily be incorporated in my comments before the Commission, simply because of the dated nature of those reports.

- Q That's fair. Thank you very much. Mr. Wellwood, I'd like to ask you now about some of the reports and analysis conducted by the -- prepared and conducted by the Provincial Bureau. Can you tell us, in a general sense, about the nature of the reports and other products produced by the Provincial Bureau?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Certainly. Similar to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's response regarding CISC, CISBC/Yukon is responsible and mandated to produce a provincial threat assessment on an annual basis, and we do so. Additionally, depending on capacity and interest level with respect to threat, we may produce other reports on criminal markets, similar to what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist mentioned, and we do produce other ad hoc type of reporting, whether it be in the form of bulletins or notifications, to promote quick and timely information sharing, intelligence sharing, from more of a strategic level.

Our provincial threat assessment itself, produced annually, is similar in nature and structure as the national threat assessment that Chief Superintendent Gilchrist spoke of. We review and assess to create a uniform product as part of our ITA process. Previously, we have included criminal market information in those provincial threat assessments in past years. Those documents themselves, again, when there's capacity and interest or requirement for threat, are produced as separate reports.

- Q And can you tell us generally who the audience for provincial bureau reports is?
- MR. WELLWOOD: The primary audience for provincial bureau reports are going to be our Executive Committee within B.C./Yukon. They would receive a full copy of the reports that we generate. A redacted version is released to the general law enforcement community in B.C./Yukon and shared amongst law enforcement in Canada on the systems noted that CISC is a steward of.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Criminal market portfolio reports or assessments are shared similarly. Full versions available to our Executive Committee, redacted versions available to law enforcement in B.C./Yukon and across Canada.

- Q Thank you, and has the Provincial Bureau produced any public reports like the one that we looked at earlier?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Not during my tenure at the bureau, and I'm not aware of any public reports prior to my tenure.
- And can you talk a little bit about the extent to which the Provincial Bureau has produced reports or conducted analysis related to money laundering?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Yeah, money laundering reports, to the best of my knowledge, date back to prior to my tenure at the bureau, to around 2009, as a part of the provincial threat assessment. That content within the assessment itself consisted of more of a survey of money laundering as a criminal market, and then became very specific with respect to the threats that organized crime groups and/or individual criminal actors may play within money laundering, based on the information and intelligence and indicators that were available.

Moving forward in time, between 2016 and 2018, CISBC/Yukon produced a number of summaries for money laundering as a part of the provincial threat assessment documents themselves, and in 2018 specifically, additional reports relevant to what the bureau considered to be higher threat topics were produced regarding money laundering, in addition to a scan of the money laundering portfolio to assess threat across all topic areas.

- Q Thank you, and as was the case with Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, while the Provincial Bureau has been unable to provide those reports to the Commission for the reasons we've discussed, you have a general familiarity with the analysis in those reports and are able to discuss it here today within the limits we talked about?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Yes, I can. Exact same constraints as Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, but I can provide

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

a general context for the reports regarding the topic.

- 0 Great, thank you very much. I'd like to now move more into the content of the 2019 public report and begin to talk a little bit about the organized crime groups present in Canada and Chief Superintendent British Columbia. Gilchrist, at page 3 of the report, there's an indication that there are 1,850 organized crime groups believed to be operating in Canada, and that 680 of those groups have been assessed by the CISC using the Integrated Threat Assessment Process that we've discussed. Can you talk a little bit about how the CISC decides to assess some groups and not others and sort of why there are assessed and non-assessed groups?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I can. And prior to responding to that question, I just have one additional thought to the last question that you posed to me with regards to previous CISC reporting and my ability to pull that information forward and share that with the Commission during my testimony. I just wanted to note there that although the public version of the National Criminal Intelligence Assessment on Money Laundering and Fraud, although that is still a work in progress, much of the analysis that has gone into the protected version, I'm able to pull from that and will be referring to that analysis when we have an opportunity to discuss some of the typologies and generally our understanding of money laundering. Much of it will be as a result of the analytical work that has been devoted to those -- most of those 2020 products.

This approach of -- keeping in mind that those are national reports, but knowing the Commission interests and knowing our desire to be as helpful and as -- and share in a wholesome manner with the Commission, we have pulled a lot of -- put, invested a lot of analysis around what is our understanding of money laundering in relation to the Integrated Threat Assessment Process and what that looks like nationally, and then to the extent possible, any provincial observations. So, I just wanted to provide that clarification so as not to leave the Commission with the -- with the thought that the -- that the

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

work invested, the analytical work invested in 2020 national products would not be of benefit to our discussion over today and tomorrow.

Thank you, I appreciate that.

MR. GILCHRIST: Going to your question with regards to page 3, Figure 1 of the Public Report on Organized Crime. As is mentioned in the first paragraph on that page:

More than 1850 OCGs are believed to be operating in Canada. Of these, 680 have been assessed in 2019 as part of the ITA process. Limited recent reporting on the remaining... OCGs prevents an in-depth assessment on their capabilities at this time.

And so what that's referring to is that we have knowledge of the other approximately 1200 organized crime groups, however, the information is not sufficiently timely, not within the two-year rule, or it's not sufficiently comprehensive to allow a full assessment, and as a result, 680 of those groups were the ones that met the criteria for being assessed in the 2019 Integrated Threat Assessment Process.

Figure 1 in the Public Report on Organized Crime shows the number of assessed groups per province or territory, and -- and distinguishes by according to their assessed threat levels, using three levels, high, medium and low. As the paragraph below the Figure 1 refers to, the -- although the numbers of organized crime groups are relatively consistent with those reported the previous year, it represented only a slight increase from the number of organized crime groups assessed in 2018. Having said that, almost 30 percent of the assessed organized crime groups in 2019 are newly reported organized crime groups.

This trend can be attributed to different factors, including changes in targeting to focus on newly-identified priorities, on previous investigations being concluded, and on limited law enforcement resources available to continue reporting on

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

previously-identified groups. Increased reporting of OCGs that have been assessed over multiple years through the ITA process has also led to the identification of new groups that interact with previously-reported ones. Moreover, as law enforcement gains a better understanding of the ways that criminal actors work together, moving away from reporting based on hierarchical and cultural structures to more fluid and interchangeable memberships, the identification of new groups has increased.

The increase of almost 39 percent in the identification of all groups believed to be operating in Canada... can be attributed in large part to enhanced sharing among law enforcement partners. For example, more than 375 street gangs were identified through the ITA process in 2018-2019...

- -- which represented an increase from previous reporting of 68 percent.
- Q Thank you. Of those 680 assessed groups, has the CISC conducted any analysis that would indicate the number or percentage believed to be involved in money laundering activities?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. With the assistance of the 10 provincial bureaus and law enforcement partners across the country, through the Integrated Threat Assessment Process, we assessed that 25 percent of the organized crime groups that were assessed between 2014 and 2018 were identified as being involved in money laundering activities. And this trend continued into 2019, when approximately 26 percent, representing 176 groups, of the 680 assessed crime groups, are believed or suspected of this activity.
- Q Thank you. And we have spoken a little bit about the limitations on the nature of the information the CISC works with, and obviously organized crime groups have an interest in hiding their activities. Can you comment on the level of certainty the CISC would have in a number like this one?
- MR. GILCHRIST: As I've described the process, it is a comprehensive process, but having said that,

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

specific to money laundering, we do believe that it's under-reported in the reporting that comes Part of that is due to the nature of forward. money laundering and the very secretive nature of money laundering, and part of it is due to the complexity of the -- of the money laundering that's done. And so in general, when I refer to 25 percent of the groups, or for 2019, when I refer to 26 percent or 176 groups of the 680 assessed crime groups, it is acknowledged that that number is likely under-representing the actual involvement of organized crime in money laundering for the -- for the reasons that I've mentioned.

- Q Thank you. And aside from these efforts to identify the number of -- or percentage of groups involved, has the CISC ever attempted to quantify the amount of money laundered in Canada, or perhaps one region of Canada?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Quantification is -- has proven to be extremely difficult. There's a number of estimates that are out there, provided by other organizations. However, in general, CISC has not undertaken a study to try to quantify the exact amount of money laundering in dollar terms. We do follow the estimates that are provided by others. We follow that very closely. And we rely upon it for contextual background. But having said that, the estimates vary significantly, depending on who has taken a look at this subject and researched it, that beyond servicing as a general contextual background point, it's limited to that use by CISC.
- Q Thank you very much. Remaining on that same page of the report, page 3, there's a bar graph that indicates the number of assessed organized crime groups by threat level and by province. I say "number" but it doesn't actually provide exact numbers. I wonder if you might fill in that gap for us and tell us how many known or suspected groups -- how many -- excuse me -- how many organized crime groups at each threat level are known or suspected to be operating in British Columbia?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I'm just referring to my notes to see if I have those exact numbers. I don't seem to have those readily available. If I do -- if it

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

comes to me, I will certainly bring us back to that -- to that question.

- Q Certainly, I appreciate that. Maybe to help us understand this graph, there's some discussion later in the report about the degree to which organized crime groups have international and interprovincial connections. For a graph like this one that assigns groups to a particular province, can you give us an idea of how a group would be -- why or how a group would be assigned to British Columbia if it may have activities in other provinces, as well?
- MR. GILCHRIST: That's a good question, because the assessed organized crime groups, when they're articulated by province, it -- that indicates that they're operating in that province. not necessarily mean that they are exclusive to that province and it does not mean that they are necessarily always physically located in that It reflects that it is an organized province. crime group that's operating in the province and may be extended -- its operations may extend well beyond the provincial boundaries. However, it operates sufficiently within the province to have resulted in it being assessed -- being, first of all, reported on by the Provincial Bureau, and being assessed through the Integrated Threat Assessment Process.

It's an interesting question that you pose, specific to B.C., because as we discuss a number of the criminal markets, one of the comments, particularly with regards to the illicit drug markets, when we discuss that, one of my comments will be in relation to how British Columbia — organized crime groups operating out of British Columbia are often operating and supplying, trafficking illicit drugs into the other provinces, particularly the western provinces. So, in many ways, is a gateway to the other western provinces for the illicit drug markets.

Q Thank you. My next questions are for Mr. Wellwood. Perhaps, given that we've sort of delved into the situation in British Columbia, perhaps I'll maybe first ask if you have any comment to add to what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has said about the numbers of organized crime groups in British Columbia at different

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

threat levels and how they're assigned to the province.

MR. WELLWOOD: With respect to how an organized crime group may be assigned to a province, I agree with Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's comments. We tend to see operation within the province, it isn't limited to something as simple as, say, residence, where they spend the bulk of their time, either formally or informally, but criminal operations within the province itself. There is definitely overlap with other provinces in the work the provincial bureaus do for their own threat assessments with respect to the organized crime groups, and by the nature of that overlap, helps to inform CISC themselves in looking at things from that national perspective or that interprovincial perspective.

So I think definitely at times we see, you know, higher or lower degrees of overlap, depending on the extent of the criminal operations within the province, and the threat level overall of the group, the organized crime group itself. But typically, if they are criminally active in British Columbia, or Yukon, for instance, then we would make sure to include that as a part of our provincial threat assessment work at CISBC/Yukon.

- Q Thank you very much. We've just referred to the graph on page 3. Do you have that handy there, Mr. Wellwood?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I do. I will bring it up here in front of me.
- Q Looking at that graph, it -- maybe I won't suggest this to you, but I'll ask you -- would you agree that it appears from that graph that there are more high-threat level groups in British Columbia than in any other province?
- MR. WELLWOOD: It does appear that the graph shows that we have more high-threat level groups in British Columbia as compared to other provinces, yes.
- Q And has the Provincial Bureau conducted any analysis or produced any reports that might give any insight into why there seems to be a concentration of high-threat level groups in this province relative to others?
- MR. WELLWOOD: If I can defer to Chief Superintendent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Gilchrist, I think he was just starting to touch on some information regarding illicit drugs and maybe more general -- organized crime in general regarding high-threat groups, and that may tie into this directly.

Q Certainly. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist if you have comments, please go ahead.

The -- when we look at MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, sir. Figure 1 on page 3, and specifically with regards to the observation that you've pointed out, that the groups identified in red as being the highlevel organized crime groups, by the figure, at first glance, it would appear as if British Columbia is showing more than other provinces. However, I think that it's important to note that although the number of B.C. high-level threat groups appears to be disproportionate to other provinces, it is important, as I referenced earlier, to keep in mind the geographic proximity of B.C. to smuggling networks from Mexico through to Los Angeles to B.C. corridor, into source countries like China. With its extensive international flights, marine ports, commercial ports, all of that means that British Columbia, as I referenced earlier, in -- in one sense, is a gateway for organized crime groups providing illicit products into western Canada. well, central Canada, to a certain extent. groups, as reflected on Figure 1, operate in these provinces, not only in British Columbia. As a consequence, considering these groups purely as B.C. groups may be misleading, as they do operate within other provinces, and in that context, should be considered more like regional threats.

The other aspect that's important to keep in mind is that although the 2019 data indicates a greater number of assessed high-threat level organized crime groups in B.C., it's important to note that this may be a reflection of increased intelligence reporting from B.C. law enforcement compared to other jurisdictions.

B.C. law enforcement agencies produce significant intelligence reporting from individual police services, from the Provincial Bureau, and as well, from very mature joint forces operations, such as the Combined Forces

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Special Investigation Unit in British Columbia.

British Columbia has the provincial threat assessment process and additionally has a well-developed provincial tactical enforcement process, and as a result of those processes, that increases both the quantity of information and the reporting that's available to CISC.

Similar to that, compared to some other provinces, there's considerable international reporting in relation to organized crime groups in British Columbia. And by extension, that increases the levels of information available to CISC for assessment.

Some provinces, in general, are more focused in their reporting on individuals versus groups, whereas other provinces focus primarily on group level. So, admittedly, that can have an impact when you're looking purely at statistics as well.

The other factor that I would point to is, on page 3 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, it refers to 14 organized crime groups having been assessed as national high-level threats in 2019. I'm just looking for -- oh, yes, so that's the -- referring the Commission to the final paragraph on page 3.

These national high-level threats have interprovincial networks if not always international connections. They engage in multiple criminal markets and they use violence to further their criminal businesses, and have a large number of criminal organized crime group association links.

Given that many organized crime groups in B.C. are involved in methamphetamine, fentanyl and money laundering, in addition to other criminal markets, the general high-level threat attributes that I've just described align well with the criminal landscape in British Columbia.

When looking at Figure 1 on page 3, a general observation would be that the four provinces that are showing the highest level of assessed organized crime groups -- so, in order of levels, being Ontario, British Columbia, Quebec and Alberta -- generally, that aligns with our long-standing view of key organized crime hubs across Canada.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

As noted on page 3 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, 14 organized crime groups were assessed as national high-level threats in 2019. I can indicate that of those 14 high-level threat groups, 10 are linked in one way or another to British Columbia.

On page 5 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, there's a description of key facilitators, and -- and it notes that seven key facilitators were assessed in 2019. And of those seven key facilitators, I can indicate that five of them have links to British Columbia.

On page 4 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, the second-last paragraph, it notes that at least four high-level threat groups in 2019 were assessed as being linked to money launderers, from large international organized crime networks providing laundering services for domestic and international drug traffickers. of those four high-level threat assessed groups -- sorry, excuse me, just for clarity -- of the four high-level threat groups that were assessed as being linked to money laundering service provision, three of those groups are linked to British Columbia. And I can indicate that while the majority of our statistics are based nationally, with regards to British Columbia during the 2019 assessment process, there were 37 groups as being involved in -- assessed as being involved in money laundering.

So, going back to Figure 1. What I hope my testimony provides is that Figure 1 is a -- is a graphical bar chart illustration intended to communicate very basic representation of the provincial locations of -- that organized crime groups are operating out of by level. However, based on the description and the points that I've raised, there is more to the story than what is illustrated in one single graphical illustration, and I hope that I've been able to provide that extra context.

Q Yes, I think you have. That's very, very helpful. Thank you. If we can now, I'd like to jump ahead a little bit in the report. Pages 9 to 13 focus on different types of criminal organizations and describe different categories of criminal organizations. Page 11 refers to a

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

type of organized crime group called a money laundering service provision network. I'm wondering, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, if you could explain to the Commission what -- what that means as used by the CISC in this report?

MR. GILCHRIST: As noted on page 11 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, money laundering is a key activity for organized crime groups. And the practice -- I'm referring to the second-last paragraph on page 11 -- and the practice is persuasive -- pervasive throughout all scopes of criminal enterprise.

CISC has identified and analyzed professional money launderers or called money laundering service providers operating in Canada. These professional money laundering groups, or their key facilitators, enable other organized crime groups and its members a means to disquise and transform their illicit funds from proceeds of crime into the legitimate economy. Money laundering service providers coordinate and move large sums of money to legitimize criminal proceeds on behalf of Canadian and international organized crime groups. Money laundering service providers can span across several highly interconnected organized crime groups and therefore are generally viewed as networks in the sense of money laundering service provision networks.

I'll refer, as well, to page 11 of the Public Report on Organized Crime, second-last paragraph. In 2019, CISC identified a high-level network of professional money launderers based in British Columbia and Ontario. This network represents several service providers nationally and internationally that conduct self-laundering and provide third party money laundering services to organized crime groups. It uses complex money laundering operations through casinos, underground banking systems, nominees, shell companies, trade-based money laundering and real estate.

As noted on page 11, as well, this network of professional money launderers identified in 2019 consists of career criminals that are highly interconnected to organized crime groups, and it's believed that it laundered proceeds of crime

Q

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

totaling upwards to hundreds of millions of Canadian dollars.

Professional money launderers, they typically possess very specialized skills, resources, assets and connections or networks which enable them to successfully launder significant amounts of money from multiple organized crime groups. Clients of professional money launderers would be high-level threat organized crime groups that are involved in criminal markets that generate large amounts of proceeds of crime and require a diverse set of money laundering activities to avoid detection and disruption, and organized crime groups that simply do not have the resources or expertise to successfully launder a larger quantity of proceeds of crime themselves.

Those would be my comments in relation to money laundering service provision networks referred to on page 11.

Okay, thank you very much. And this -- the description on page 11 refers to some different examples of techniques and methods of money laundering, as you mentioned, in relation in particular to this one group operating in British Columbia and Ontario. The description doesn't offer any indication of the prevalence of those different methods. Are you able to advise, based on CISC intelligence analysis, the frequency or prevalence of different money laundering techniques or the involvement of different economic sectors in money laundering in Canada?

MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. As I mentioned earlier, so if we start off with the basic statistic of 680, of the 680 assessed organized crime groups identified in 2019, 176 of those organized crime groups were identified as being involved in money laundering activities. Further analysis shows the typologies being used by organized crime groups thought to be involved in money laundering in Canada. The most prevalent typologies are, private sector businesses — and that was assessed at approximately 28 percent of the groups nationally. Money service businesses, or informal value transfer systems, approximately nine percent nationally. Casinos and gambling, approximately 10 percent nationally.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

I would offer a clarification, or a further clarification with regards to the term "gambling." The term "gambling" refers to both illegal and legal gambling, as the Integrated Threat Assessment Process does not make a distinction between involvement in illegal gambling or the abuse or exploitation of legal gambling by organized crime.

The next typology is real estate, approximately seven percent of the assessed organized crime groups. Seven percent of the 176 groups use real estate as a typology. And cryptocurrency is approximately three percent of the 176 organized crime groups.

Now, it's very important to note, because I've given those statistics in somewhat of a list in a very linear manner, but it's important to note, when analyzing the methods of money laundering used by organized crime groups, many organized crime groups may use more than one type of money laundering activity. This means that some appear in more than one typology with regards to purely crunching the numbers. It's important to underline that, that it's often several typologies that would be used by an organized crime group.

Despite not knowing how all of the 680 organized crime groups identified in the 2019 assessment, how all of them are laundering their illicit funds, it's believed that groups and their members want to conceal or disguise their illicit illegal funds from detection and confiscation, and therefore to launder their money.

It's difficult to determine the actual number of organized crime groups or the percentage of groups that use each of these typologies. This can be, as I briefly explained, part of the challenge is that groups may use more than one typology to facilitate money laundering at any given time. As well, depending on the volume of illicit funds that need to be cleaned, and as well, their access to different methodologies, all of those criteria, in general, contribute to the difficulty in determining the actual number of organized crime groups using any specific typology.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

It's important to note, as well, that not all organized crime groups and criminals generate significant amounts of proceeds of crime, and therefore not all crime groups need complex money laundering. However, all organized crime groups who do generate significant wealth through their criminal endeavours require some form of money laundering to legitimize their illegally gained profits.

- Q Thank you. The Commission has heard evidence previously about other economic sectors or techniques of money laundering, including money laundering through financial institutions or trade-based money laundering. Should we understand from your evidence that the CISC has not been able to identify the number or percentage of groups involved in money laundering in sectors aside from those that you listed?
- MR. GILCHRIST: These are the primary areas that we have focused on. There's other areas, such as, as you've mentioned, trade-based money laundering, where we're aware of certain elements of that. But as far as with the Integrated Threat Assessment Process and looking at the groups that have been formally assessed, these are the sectors that are the most prevalent typologies. As well, when we refer to these being the most prevalent, it's acknowledging that some of the other typologies haven't provided stats to them simply because prevalence is not at the level of some of these, or at least as we understand exactly.
- Q Thank you. I wonder if we might narrow our focus a little bit to the Province of British Columbia. Mr. Wellwood, are you able to tell us whether the Provincial Bureau has conducted any analysis that would help us to identify the economic sectors or industries or typologies of money laundering used by organized crime in this province?
- MR. WELLWOOD: Yes, I can. If you don't mind, Mr. McCleery, I just wanted to quickly jump back to the number of high-threat groups in B.C.
 O Go ahead.
- MR. WELLWOOD: And potential reasons or contributing factors for that. I completely agree with Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's response regarding that that graphic, there's more to the story than

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

what you see in the picture with respect to highthreat groups and how this is complex. I also agree with some of the contributing factors that were mentioned, including transportation and/or smuggling and the volume of that that does exist, or the potential for it in British Columbia, given the infrastructure and it being a gateway.

You know, I think there are other contributing factors, you know, simple things such as B.C. being a bit of a technological centre or hotbed for innovation. You know, in addition to, as with -- with much of Canada, having more developed financial systems and access. I think all of these things start to paint a bit of a picture as to why the prevalence of high-threat groups, or at least the presence of those high-threat groups exist within B.C., whether they're based here or not. The fact that, in general, B.C. ticks off a large number of those boxes is a big factor as to why we may see again, I believe, a presence or actual regular appearance of high-threat organized crime in British Columbia.

- Q Thank you for that. That's helpful. We'll turn now, I guess, to the question I posed, which is are you able to provide us with any insight based on provincial bureau intelligence analysis as to the economic sectors or industries or money laundering typologies used by organized crime groups in this province?
- MR. WELLWOOD: The first comment that I wanted to pass along was that when CISBC/Yukon examines money laundering in general, we are looking at indicators. As Chief Superintendent Gilchrist mentioned before, rather aptly, we don't work with evidence necessarily, we work with a lot of information, some of which is quite incomplete, and we typically are looking -- making use of indicators on a frequent basis for the work that we do in the assessments we produce.

The other factor I think to consider here, as well, is that we -- we appreciate that money laundering can be incredibly complex and that there can be many, many layers, phases, stages, however one would like to frame it, within money laundering. So my comments that I'll now provide regarding typologies and money laundering --

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

regarding organized crime in B.C., it just -it's provided in the context of the fact that
we're again working with indicators, and also
that of course we're looking at different
components, phases or portions of the process of
money laundering with respect to organized crime.

Of the 37 groups who are identified in British Columbia for involvement with money laundering, approximately 25 percent, or nine of those groups, were considered to have higher capacity or higher capability for money laundering, and that simply defines as ability to conduct money laundering activity on behalf of another group or groups, or on behalf of a criminal network or multiple criminal networks.

I don't have any explicit details to share regarding the nine groups that have that higher capacity and/or capability. Again, given the constraints of which we can discuss and share information here today and the sensitivity of it, but safe to say that those -- those nine groups have a presence in British Columbia and are conducting money laundering at a higher level in British Columbia.

MR. McCLEERY: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I suggest this might be an appropriate time for our second break, if that's agreeable.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr. McCleery. We'll take 15 minutes.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 15-minute recess until 12:10 p.m. Thank you.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is resumed.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness, recalled.

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness, recalled.

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

2 3 4

1

RYLAND WELLWOOD, witness, recalled.

5 6

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. McCleery.

7 8 9

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Mr. McCleery.

10 11

Thank you very much. MR. McCLEERY:

12 13

EXAMINATION BY MR. McCLEERY, continuing:

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

Before the break, we were talking about the prevalence of money laundering in different economic sectors and industries. Mr. Wellwood, you've spoken about the prevalence of highcapability money laundering groups in British I was wondering if you might have any Columbia. comment on the industries or economic sectors or typologies we typically see in this province?

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

MR. WELLWOOD: Certainly. Of the organized crime groups active and associated to money laundering in B.C., there are four main typologies that are most -- most prevalent or most frequent in our work in completing the assessments on organized In no particular order, and crime. unfortunately, I do not have a breakdown regarding percentages, we see activity or involvement regarding money service businesses. We see real estate. We see casinos and gaming, with a note as to a definition that is consistent with Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's definition, with no differentiation between legal or illegal

33 34 35

36

37

38

gaming. As well as -- I apologize for just quickly referring to my notes -- privately owned businesses and corporations. Thank you for that. What I'd like to do now is Q

delve into these different sectors we've 39 identified in a little bit more detail and gain a 40 41 better understanding of what the CISC and 42 provincial bureau's intelligence analysis tell us 43 about how money is being laundered in these 44 different sectors. Chief Superintendent 45 Gilchrist, I wonder if I might start with you, 46 and beginning with the most prevalent method on

47

your list, which was private sector businesses.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Can you tell us, you know, what types of private sector businesses the CISC most commonly sees used in money laundering?

- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, Mr. McCleery. Prior to answering that question, if I could just go back to the previous question with regards to provincial trends, because I think there is one more statistic that would be of interest to the Commission.
- O Yes, please do.
- Once again, it's looking at the 2019 MR. GILCHRIST: data through the Integrated Threat Assessment Process. And the highest number of organized crime groups thought to be involved in money laundering, it's simply to note that they are reported primarily in three provinces. Ontario, followed by British Columbia, and then followed by Quebec, with all three of those provinces collectively representing more than 76 percent of the groups, or assessed 76 percent of the assessed organized crime groups involved in money laundering. And this statistic is not surprising in general, given that the majority of the organized crime groups that are reported upon and assessed are based in these provinces.

Then moving to -- moving to private sector businesses. As I mentioned earlier, of the 176 organized crime groups that were identified as being involved in money laundering, approximately 28 percent of those are suspected of using private sector businesses in order to facilitate the laundering or hiding of their proceeds of crime. Based on that 176 organized crime groups, the top three most common private sector businesses that are suspected of facilitating money laundering activities -- once again, at a national level -- would be restaurant, food and beverage services, followed by automotive, followed by construction.

Similar to my comments earlier, some groups can access and use more than one type of private business or use nominees to hide business ownership, which can make it difficult to track the proceeds of crime. Private sector businesses are used for money laundering purposes in numerous ways, including the comingling of proceeds of crime with legitimate business cash

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

inflow, falsifying receipts and invoices, paying employees in cash, the use of corporate accounts to purchase assets -- for example, real estate assets and other high-valued goods -- to further obscure the origin in ownership. As well as the use of nominees and shell companies to distance transactions from beneficial owners and provide financing or loan services using proceeds of crime.

One point of further clarification would be with regards to trade-based money laundering. And organized crime groups that are reported to be involved in trade-based money laundering are not captured in this statistic of 28 percent of the 176 assessed organized crime groups involved in money laundering. In the 28 percent, they use private sector businesses. That statistic is related to a breakdown of money laundering typologies. And although Canadian import and export companies are indeed private businesses, because of the specific nature of the schemes, trade-based money laundering was considered a separate typology and was not included in that figure. It's possible that some of the businesses categorized in that 28 percent could be used for trade-based money laundering, however, this was not reported nor explored further.

Examples of the types of Canadian companies that are involved in the 28 percent involvement with private sector business, as I've mentioned, include retail, food and beverage, construction, financial fitness, manufacturing, real estate, and waste management.

Around two percent of the 176 assessed organized crime groups involved in money laundering were reported as engaged in trade-based money laundering. The percentage of group involvement is comparatively low compared to other reported money laundering typologies, and this is likely due to the fact that trade-based money laundering schemes are more complex, usually more sophisticated, and therefore harder to detect than some other money laundering methods. Additionally, because the schemes are particularly difficult to identify and separate from legitimate trade commerce, it is likely that

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

further activity using this typology exists in Canada, but has not yet been detected.

CISC continues to work closely with its membership, including, but certainly not limited to, with the Canada Border Services Agency in order to increasingly understand the scope and severity of trade-based money laundering use in Canada.

- Q Thank you. And, Mr. Wellwood, privately owned businesses was also an economic sector type of industry that you referred to as being prevalent in British Columbia. Do you have anything to add from the provincial perspective to what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has just told us?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I don't have anything specific to add in addition to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's comments. Two quick pieces, though, one specific to private businesses. CISBC/Yukon has not produced any -- any reporting or assessments on these private businesses within money laundering. Our involvement crossing over into this typology is again related to our work regarding provincial threat assessment and examination of organized crime groups or networks.

The second piece that I would have to add that would apply both to -- or in general, I think, across a number of typologies, including private businesses, would be the threat that CISBC has considered and assessed regarding the infiltration and corruption, knowingly or unknowingly, of professional services. And again, the complexity of money laundering, the wide breadth of it, you know, there is a significant legitimate side of services in the economy required, and I just wanted to make sure that there was a note of that [indiscernible] when we're considering or talking about these typologies.

- Q All right, thank you. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, you spoke a little bit about trade-based money laundering and some of the difficulties in examining that phenomenon. Does the CISC have sort of a working definition of what trade-based money laundering is?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Trade-based money laundering, as we understand it, it's a process of disguising and moving criminal proceeds through the use of trade

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

transactions. Methods include the trade of goods purchased with proceeds of crime. Trade fraud, for example, fraudulent invoices and customs declarations, as well as underground value transfers through unregulated currency exchanges. That's -- that's our general understanding of how to describe the issue. I can't say at this point whether that's an agreed upon definition, but that's our -- that's our working understanding of what we're describing.

- Q Thank you. And Mr. Wellwood, you talked about the infiltration of professional services. Can you provide any further insight into how that furthers efforts to launder money or how that typology works?
- Maybe just for clarification, that was MR. WELLWOOD: -- infiltration and corruption of professional services is brought up with respect to maybe as a cross-cutting theme for a number of different typologies, not necessarily as a typology itself. But just the fact that there is a need for legitimate service providers and the services obviously they provide in carrying out money laundering activity, you know, with -- with respect to additional information, we have not made any specific assessments or reporting on infiltration and corruption of professional services. It is, again, something that we have encountered as part of our work for the provincial threat assessments and the reporting regarding money laundering itself, but again, no specific assessments regarding that topic.
- Q All right, thank you. In that case, I'd like to move forward into the next area identified by Chief Superintendent Gilchrist earlier, the use of money services businesses and informal value transfer systems. Maybe before we get into exactly how money laundering works in that context, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, can you tell us what informal value transfer system is, at least as understood by the CISC for the purpose of this analysis?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Informal value transfer systems are considered money service businesses that are unregulated under FINTRAC, commonly referred to as hawalas or fei ch'ien. They are used around the world and allow clients to informally

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

transfer value outside of the traditional banking system without the requirement for the funds to be physically transferred from one country to another. They mainly deal with transfers to countries that lack formal banking systems. And once again, I'll just underline the fact that that's a working understanding of the definition, not necessarily a prescribed definition.

- Q Thank you, and moving forward from there, what can you tell us, based on CISC intelligence and analysis, about how money laundering occurs through these types of businesses and systems?
- MR. GILCHRIST: A few comments to offer. First would be that the precise number of organized crime groups involved in money service businesses remains unknown. Using the groups identified as being involved in money laundering, only seven were thought to be using money service businesses. However, it's believed that this number may be under-reported.

The most concerning aspect is money service businesses that are owned, controlled or influenced by organized crime group members, as they can launder significant amounts of funds and they can facilitate illegal transactions on behalf of criminals seeking to make international payments for such things as paying for drug imports into Canada. As money service businesses are cash-intensive, they can easily facilitate the placement of illicit funds into the legitimate financial system and economy.

Organized crime can exploit legitimate money service businesses for money laundering purposes through infiltration, including corruption or owning an MSB, or by complicit businesses and employees who ignore indications of criminality and accept transactions using suspected proceeds of crime.

CISC has not undertaken an extensive review of money service business ownership in Canada, so the exact number of businesses under the influence of organized crime groups or professional money launderers is currently unknown.

Going back to informal value transfer systems, which I gave a working definition of how it's understood by CISC. I would note that while

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

informal value transfer systems are required to register with FINTRAC and operate legally in Canada, some informal value transfer systems are illegal. And even the registered ones can be exploited by organized crime and professional money launderers in an effort to evade sanctions, state currency controls, and to facilitate money laundering.

In general, there's two types of money service businesses in Canada. One would be national, with numerous agents that have access to hundreds of thousands -- hundreds or thousands of locations worldwide, and conduct large amounts of transactions and services, and local, which would be typically family-owned, that provide transactions to specific geographic regions and are relatively small.

Those would be the comments that I would have to offer in relation to money service businesses and informal value transfer systems. Thank you very much for that. Mr. Wellwood, I note that they were also on your list of typologies or sectors used in money laundering in this province. Do you have anything to add to what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has told us?

MR. WELLWOOD: CISBC/Yukon, in addition to our work for the provincial threat assessments and our look at organized crime in B.C. and Yukon, and again, stumbling across some of the money service business activity that organized crime is involved in, we had also produced, in 2018, a report specific to money service businesses. This is one of the two reports that I referred to earlier in the day. I'll just provide some quick context. The report itself was an examination of money service businesses, considering professional money launderers, so fitting the description of service provision networks that Chief Superintendent Gilchrist covered off earlier.

I had a look at and examined specific organized crime groups and criminal actors from the perspective of course of assessing threat and communicating that to our audience, our stakeholders.

With respect to money service businesses, in addition to Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- comments, I don't have anything of substance to add moving forward regarding, you know, organized crime in B.C., no additional intelligence I am able to share at this time.
- Q Thank you very much. Let's forge ahead then to casinos and gambling. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, what can you tell us, based on CISC analysis and intelligence, about money laundering through casinos and gaming?
- MR. GILCHRIST: In 2019, there were 18 organized crime groups reported as using casinos or gambling to launder their proceeds of crime. I'll repeat my comment provided earlier that the term "gambling" refers to both illegal and legal gambling, as the Integrated Threat Assessment Process did not make a distinction between involvement in illegal gambling or the abuse or exploitation of legal gambling by organized crime.

While some casinos in Canada are privately owned businesses and therefore could technically be considered private sector businesses, because they're required to report large currency and suspicious transactions to the Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada, and based on the sheer volume of cashbased transactions and currency that goes through them, they lend themselves to be considered their own money laundering typology within the context of the Integrated Threat Assessment Process.

It's been suggested that with the public scrutiny and focus on casinos in British Columbia within the last couple of years that the methods of money laundering is potentially evolved or is being used in casinos in other Canadian provinces where similar regulations do not exist. However, comprehensive criminal intelligence on those developments has yet to be fully developed.

A recent example of the use of casinos by organized crime is actually an example out of the Province of Ontario. It's a York Regional Police investigation that has been publicly reported on and therefore I can comment. It's an investigation into an organized crime group based in Ontario. During that investigation, group members collectively gambled in Ontario casinos and are believed to have laundered over \$70 million Canadian inside legal casinos. It's

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

reported members of their group went to casinos nightly with 30 to 50,000 Canadian funds, lost a fraction of their cash, and allegedly pocketed the rest as legitimate wins. In July of 2018, this investigation resulted in numerous arrests in Canada and Italy, and approximately 35 million in seizures, including homes and luxury vehicles.

With respect to the casinos in B.C. and the regulatory changes that occurred in 2018 regarding the source of fund declarations for anything over \$10,000 Canadian for a 24-hour period, CISC analysts have anecdotally heard from individual subject matter experts that the source of fund rules in British Columbia may have resulted in a decrease of bulk cash being used in B.C. casinos. However, I want to underline that CISC has not done an assessment, a comprehensive assessment on this issue to look at other vulnerabilities for cash businesses like casinos. Therefore at this point it's purely individual --individual input that's been received.

Given that -- and I raise this in the context of it's likely that there's other subject matter experts better positioned to advise the Commission on recent developments arising since those source of fund rules were implemented, given that we have not done a comprehensive assessment specifically on that.

Thank you.

- MR. GILCHRIST: In relation to casinos and gambling.

 Q Thank you. The typology that you refer to as having been publicly reported in Ontario involving bulk cash and players cashing out with, I suppose, laundered funds, are you able to comment on whether that typology had been observed in British Columbia, let's say, prior to the regulatory changes in 2018?
- MR. GILCHRIST: My sense is, given that we look at things through the national level, to drill down on a specific typology in British Columbia, my sense is that there are other law enforcement representatives and other representatives in general that are in better position to be able to provide you that information factually.
- Q Thank you. Mr. Wellwood, has the CISBC/Yukon conducted any analysis of money laundering in casinos, or through casinos and gambling in

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

British Columbia?

- MR. WELLWOOD: We have not produced any specific assessments or reporting regarding money laundering in casinos and gambling in B.C.
- Q Okay. Do you have nothing to add, then, to what Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has described?
- MR. WELLWOOD: No, I have nothing to add, and I would echo his comments regarding other subject matter experts that may be better positioned to comment on those specific details.
- Q Thank you very much. Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, the next sector on your list was real estate. What can you tell us about money laundering through real estate, based on CISC analysis?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Based once again on the 2019 data, there were 12 organized crime groups identified as using real estate as a means to launder their proceeds of crime. And once again, that's a national statistic. Criminals exploit the Canadian real estate market for money laundering purposes by using proceeds of crime to purchase real estate, often after the illicit funds have transited through the money laundering stages of placement and layering, to obscure their criminal source. Real estate is an attractive investment for illicit funds as it can provide a home to live in, a relatively secure high-value investment, and/or a place to conduct further criminal endeavours, including outlaw motorcycle gang clubhouses, underground casinos, brothels and drug production and/or trafficking locations.

Not all professionals involved in real estate transactions have obligations under the proceeds of crime legislation, PCMLTFA. As mortgage brokers, private lenders, lawyers, and Quebec notaries are not subject to the legislation, they are not required to report suspicious activities or large cash transactions to the Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada. This gap in that legislation creates opportunities for exploitation of these entities by criminals and — and complicit professionals for money laundering purposes and contributes to the opaqueness of their actions.

Inadequate beneficial ownership transparency

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

in Canada is a significant enabler for money laundering through real estate as the legal ownership of property, like companies, partnerships, trusts and nominees, allows the individuals with the ultimate real world control of the property to be concealed.

Money laundering through real estate uses various mortgage and loan schemes resulting in proceeds of crime being used to purchase properties. Methods include purchasing properties or paying down mortgages, refinancing or other loans through proceeds of crime, and manipulating property values, also considered fraud, and obtaining loans against the overvalued real estate, which are paid using proceeds of crime. That, in general, would be our understanding of the -- of the real estate typology.

- Q Thank you. And, Mr. Wellwood, has the Provincial Bureau conducted any analysis of money laundering through real estate that might shed some additional light on the subject?
- MR. WELLWOOD: We have not conducted any specific analysis or assessments regarding real estate and money laundering.
- Q Then I believe we can move forward to the last of the sectors listed by Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, which was cryptocurrency. What are you able to tell us about money laundering using cryptocurrency?
- MR. GILCHRIST: First, with regards to cryptocurrency, more than 25 percent of the organized crime groups that were assessed as high-level threats in the 2019 national threat assessment were reported to use cryptocurrencies in some element of their activities. Cryptocurrencies are popular as payment methods for mass marketing fraud in illicit transactions of drugs and contraband conducted on dark web marketplaces. It can also be used as a mechanism for money laundering. As a money laundering tool, cryptocurrencies facilitate the transfer of large volumes of funds outside of the oversight found in the traditional financial sector, and are particularly useful for moving funds internationally. Cryptocurrency exchanges are businesses that buy or cash out bitcoin or other

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

currencies. They have physical locations as well as online. The conversion of bitcoin to cash and vice versa is a focal point during law enforcement investigations to identify subjects associated to cryptocurrency. Cryptocurrency, such as bitcoins, are decentralized digital assets that can be exchanged for governmentissued currency transferred from person to person or exchanged for other virtual currencies.

Those would be my comments in relation to cryptocurrency.

- Q Thank you. And, Mr. Wellwood, same question for you. Has the Provincial Bureau conducted any analysis that would shed light on this -- on this subject?
- MR. WELLWOOD: No, CISBC/Yukon has not produced any specific assessments regarding money laundering and cryptocurrency.
- Q Okay. You've indicated that for several of these -- maybe this is -- I'm not sure if this question is best placed to Mr. Wellwood or Inspector Stevens. Can you comment a little bit on sort of the decision making that would go around why an analysis on some of these sectors may or may not happen or sort of what the constraints are that would prevent the Provincial Bureau from doing that?
- MS. STEVENS: Well, one word, "capacity." We are actually fully staffed at the moment, but haven't been -- we've been acutely short in the past couple of years, and we have a severe shortage of analytical resources, so we have casual data entry employees, we've got some researchers, but we don't have a compliment of trained analysts. We always have it on our list. We've got tons of ideas of what we would like to produce, but unfortunately, our main product, which is the provincial threat assessment, is -- is the one thing we have to get out the door, and after that, it's all bonus, and unfortunately, it's always on the back burner because of our resource shortages.
- Q Thank you for that. So would you -- would it be fair to say that these are areas that are -- the Provincial Bureau is alive to and you would like to do some work in, but because of the resource constraints, it's just not been possible to this

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

point?

- MS. STEVENS: Absolutely, and our umbrella is -- you know, money laundering is just one part of what we do. There are so many different projects.

 There are so many different research ideas that we have that we would love to jump into.

 Unfortunately, we just don't have the ability.
 - Thank you, that's very helpful.
- MR. WELLWOOD: Mr. McCleery?
- O Yes.
- MR. WELLWOOD: Just to compliment what Inspector Stevens just said, I think it speaks to her comments that the last time the scan of the money laundering portfolio was completed was approximately two years ago, in early 2018. And at that time, two of the three highest threat assessed topic areas was trade-based money laundering and money service businesses were both areas that CISBC/Yukon did produce specific assessments for. Should -- should that capacity not be an issue, I think we would have seen an update scan of that portfolio, as well as prioritized threats for topics to be reported on.
- Q Thank you very much. That's very helpful. So I think we've now reviewed the various sectors and industries that Chief Superintendent Gilchrist you mentioned previously. Just to ensure we're not unduly constraining ourselves to that list, are there other industries or sectors or methods of money laundering that even though you haven't been able to attach numbers to them, that you have some can share some insight into how they operate?
- MR. GILCHRIST: We've spoken briefly about trade-based money laundering, and although it wasn't one of the -- of the ones that was on that previous list -- and I've shared with you that about two percent of that 176 assessed organized crime groups were reported as engaged in trade-based money laundering. So I won't cover that again. I believe that that would -- that would provide a solid overview of the various typologies, once again focusing on those that are the most prevalent, but there are -- there are not other typologies that I have comments to further explore.
- Q Thank you. And perhaps, Mr. Wellwood, or

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- Inspector Stevens, I'll ask the same question to you. Are there other typologies or sectors in which money laundering is known to occur that you're able to provide some additional insight into, or have we covered off there?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I have nothing further to add at this point, Mr. McCleery.
- Q Okay, thank you very much. In that case, I think it's -- I'd like to now turn to a second report produced by the CISC.
- MR. McCLEERY: Madam Registrar, I wonder if you might display document 39 on your list. Thank you.
- Q Again, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, I'll pose a few basic questions to you to introduce this report before we delve into some of its contents. You see the report on the screen before you?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I do.
- Q And you're familiar with this report?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I am.
- Q And it was also produced by the CISC during your tenure as Director General?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, that's correct.
- MR. McCLEERY: Mr. Commissioner, as with the previous report, this one has also been filed as, I believe, Appendix F to Exhibit 3, so I won't ask that it be marked again, but it is part of the record. And, Madam Registrar, I'll suggest we leave this report up for the time being. There are a few figures in the report I'd like to take the witnesses to, so it might be helpful to display them on the screen.
- Q Before we do that, though, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, can you describe to us the purpose of this report and how it came to be?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. To generally describe the report, first of all, as seen on the screen, it's titled the "2018-19 National Criminal Intelligence Estimate on the Canadian Criminal Marketplace," and specifically highlights illicit drugs. This estimate was released on April 29th, 2019, and similar to the Public Report on Organized Crime, it was made available via the public facing website for CISC in which the members of the public could request a full copy of the report. And additionally, distribution was done within CISC membership, including provincial bureaus.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

The National Criminal Intelligence Estimate is similar in some ways to the Public Report on Organized Crime, however, it focuses specifically on the illicit drug markets. The estimate goes into more detail about five of the most prolific drug markets, and specifically methamphetamine, fentanyl and its analogues, cocaine, heroin and the illicit cannabis market. It provides summary information in relation to other illicit drug markets, however, is largely focused on the five that I've mentioned. It's a strategic assessment that provides an overview of the Canadian illicit drug landscape and the activities of organized crime that operate within it. The estimate, similar to when we discussed the Public Report on Organized Crime, it combines federal, provincial and municipal law enforcement reporting, open source reporting and intelligence from other domestic and international government agencies to assess these illicit drug markets.

It is another example of the testimony I provided earlier whereas most intelligence produced by CISC is intended for policing services. However, we are endeavouring to increasingly release information to the public, and this is a report that aligns with that -with that goal. And it was actually, as I mentioned earlier in my testimony, this was actually -- this report predated the release of the Public Report on Organized Crime. Intelligence Estimate on Illicit Drugs was raised in April of 2019, followed by the public report in December of 2019. As I mentioned, we have a National Criminal Intelligence on Money Laundering and Financial Crime that we're anticipating a public version to be available in the next few weeks, and further forecasting into the fall/winter, of a National Criminal Intelligence Estimate on other criminal markets or an update on the illicit drug markets.

So I described that because, when we go back to the principal goal of wanting to inform non-police stakeholders on the threats posed by serious and organized crime in Canada, it's really looking at all of those publicly available reports in their entirety that gives a national perspective and quite comprehensive perspective.

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Similar to the Public Report on Organized Crime, the purpose behind releasing it was essentially so that law enforcement, government and the general Canadian public can have a consistent view of organized crime, specifically with regards to the illicit drug markets, and this contributes to building and maintaining partnerships that are instrumental in our ability to combat this threat. Publishing this illicit drug estimate ensures that the public and other stakeholders have ready access to a national assessment of the key illicit drug markets.

Similar to the Public Report on Organized Crime, consumers of the illicit drug market report include the general public, media, academic researchers and other non-police government departments.

CISC, as I mentioned earlier in my testimony, we do look at a variety of criminal markets that organized crime is involved in. The scope of organized crime involvement is quite wide and is constantly changing. And as I referenced earlier, on an ongoing basis, we assess what are seen as the higher level threats, and in this specific case here, the criminal markets that are the most prolific or pose the greatest risk to Canadians, and accordingly, direct our analytical efforts towards those.

And with regards to the estimate on illicit drugs, some media coverage did result from the release of that publication, mostly from Ontario and Quebec-based media outlets.

Those would be my general comments in relation to the National Criminal Intelligence Estimate.

- Q Thank you very much. There are a number of figures in this report that provide an indication of the level of involvement of criminal organizations and different illicit drug markets in different provinces. I wonder if we might briefly review a few of those, and Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, have you discuss them in brief at least.
- MR. McCLEERY: Madam Registrar, I wonder if we might jump ahead to page 6 of the report, Figure -- or Table 8. There it is.
- Q Sorry, before we get into the figures detailing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

the differences between provinces, Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, this table identifies the risk level associated with different illicit drug markets. I wonder if you might briefly describe what would -- what factors would make an illicit drug market a higher or lower risk, for the purpose of this report?

MR. GILCHRIST: Yes. And so, in general, Table 1 on page 6, there's a column for rationale, and it essentially provides the description of why specific markets were attributed towards a specific threat level. For example, methamphetamine, why was it classified as a high-The rationale behind that in threat market? general is the high potential for abuse and dependence with reported increases in the availability, demand and harms in most regions of Canada, and growing organized crime group involvement at all levels of production, importation and distribution. And this table is intended to be a summary. However, I'll, just by way of example, refer back to page 1, the last paragraph, where it further explains:

Methamphetamine is assessed as one of the most important illicit drug threats in Canada, with substantial increases in trafficking and use and a 28 percent increase in organized crime involvement over three years.

And so that, amongst other reasons, as summarized in the rationale, is why it was seen as a high threat within the context of the illicit drug Similar to fentanyl and it's analogues, markets. you'll see in the rationale table, it refers to intelligence indicating that over 4,000 opioidrelated deaths in Canada can be expected in 2019 as a result of increasing availability across Canada, including new and more potent opioids and fentanyl analogues. Profitability and relative ease of entry will continue to entice organized crime groups into this market and law enforcement capability will continue to be challenged by the importation and trafficking by interdependent cyber-enabled operators. And so when looking at that in its entirety, compared to the other

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

illicit markets, it resulted in a classification of high, as well.

One other market was classified as high, being cocaine. And this here is -- the rationale behind that is the market remains profitable and consistently attracts more organized crime groups than any other criminal market in Canada. Resurgence in domestic and international use and trafficking against a backdrop of signs of rising coke cultivation and cocaine production in Latin America.

And so once again, if I can, I will take you back to page 2 of the same report, second-last bullet point at the bottom of the page:

The cocaine market has more organized crime groups involved (75 percent) than any other market. This trend is expected to continue...

So what I would encourage is that readers of the estimate, that the rationale that's provided within the Table 1 provides a snapshot of how and why it became those markets and the classifications that were attributed to them.

- Thank you very much for that.
- MR. McCLEERY: Mr. Commissioner, I've just received a note suggesting perhaps a brief break would be of some assistance. Can we --
- THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's fine, Mr. McCleery. We will take -- shall we take 10 minutes? Is that sufficient?
- MR. McCLEERY: That sounds fine, yes. Thank you.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 10-minute recess until 1:05 p.m. Please turn off your video and mute yourself. Thank you.

(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)

THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing is resumed.

ROBERT GILCHRIST, a witness,

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

2

recalled.

3 4 5

LESLIE STEVENS, a witness, recalled.
RYLAND WELLWOOD, witness, recalled.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, Mr. McCleery.

MR. McCLEERY: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Prior to the break, we had been discussing a table that appears on page 6 of the report. Madam Registrar, I wonder if we might move ahead to page 9. There's a figure there labelled Figure 1. Thank you.

15 16 17

EXAMINATION BY MR. McCLEERY, continuing:

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

- Q Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, you see Figure 1 on the screen in front of you?
- MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, I do.
- Q Can you give us an idea of what that figure is intended to communicate, what its purpose is?
- MR. GILCHRIST: So Figure 1 is a graphical illustration of organized crime group involvement in the methamphetamine criminal market, and the data within that illustration is provided according to province, and as well, the specific roles within the criminal market. The -- with regard to roles, the Figure 1 provides the data according to the role of distribution, exportation, importation and manufacturing. regards to exportation, this role would refer to the exportation of finished methamphetamine product, and with regards to importation, this role would refer to the importation of both finished methamphetamine product and, as well, precursor materials necessary for the production And it's important to note, of methamphetamine. as noted by an asterisks on Figure 1, that some organized crime groups are involved in multiple roles.
- Q Thank you. And to your viewing of this figure, what does it tell us about the involvement of organized crime groups in British Columbia in the methamphetamine market compared to those of other provinces?

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

So, to start with, the figure notes MR. GILCHRIST: that there are 41 organized crime groups involved in distribution, and as you will see by looking at the chart, it's the highest number in Canada. That's consistent with organized crime groups in B.C. supplying other provinces with methamphetamine, such as the western provinces, and as well, into Ontario. Many of the organized crime groups noted as linked to B.C. play a regional role and not simply a provincial role. The figure, as well, denotes a significant number of organized crime groups that are involved in manufacturing. Once again, this is consistent with the geographic location of British Columbia and its vast incoming international traffic, both human and cargo, and that many precursor substances originate from outside the country. And the same can be said in relation to the increased number of organized crime groups that are involved in importation, which is listed as 7 for British Columbia.

Those would be the comments that -- that I share in relation to what this Figure 1 provide as a context for organized crime involvement in the meth market, methamphetamine market, specific to B.C.

- Q Thank you for that. And perhaps before we move to the next figure, I'll pause and ask if Inspector Stevens or Mr. Wellwood have anything to add about the involvement of British Columbia organized crime groups in the methamphetamine market.
- MS. STEVENS: I don't.
- MR. WELLWOOD: I don't have anything to add either, Mr. McCleery.
- Q As we go through these, perhaps I'll just offer a general invitation to chime in once Chief Superintendent Gilchrist has concluded his comments, if there are any.
- MR. McCLEERY: Madam Registrar, I wonder if we might move ahead then to page 17 of the report, see Figure 6. Thank you very much.
- Q Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, perhaps you can tell us what this figure is intended to communicate and what it tells us in your view about the involvement of British Columbia organized crime groups in the cocaine market?

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

MR. GILCHRIST: So, Figure 6, once again, it's a graphical illustration or bar chart denoting the organized crime group involvement in the importation of cocaine, so it's specific to that, and it provides the data according to province. With regards to what does it tell about the level of organized crime groups in B.C. and their involvement in this -- in the cocaine market, the number, first of all, denotes that there are 28 organized crime groups involved in the importation of cocaine that are linked to British Columbia. So that indicates that organized crime groups in B.C. are significant importers of cocaine, only exceeded by Quebec and Ontario. This is consistent with much of the cocaine imported into B.C., having originated in Mexico and having been transported via well-developed transportation lines up the west coast of the United States and into Canada.

As well, when looking at that number, it's consistent with the role that organized crime groups in B.C. play in relation to supplying cocaine for the western provinces. And when looking at the locations, the provinces where the higher numbers of organized crime groups involved in the importation, in general, that's reflective of the provinces in which the main organized criminal hubs are located in Canada. So when we — when you see on Figure 6 high numbers for B.C., Ontario and Quebec, that aligns with — with a general long-standing view of the main organized crime hubs in Canada.

Those would be my comments, Mr. McCleery.

- Thank you for that.
- MR. McCLEERY: Perhaps, Madam Registrar, we could move forward now to page 22 of the report and see Figure 10.
- Q Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, can you tell us a little bit about what -- what this figure is intended to communicate?
- A So Figure 10 is, once again, a graphical illustration of the heroin market in Canada, and specifically denotes it by both adulteration rates and organized crime involvement. So, with regards to adulteration rates, this reflects the percentage of heroin samples seized containing fentanyl or analogues, by province, as per 2017

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

statistics from Health Canada analysis.

As you can see in the figure, it notes that B.C. -- with regards to B.C., that the aforementioned adulteration rate is in the range of 80 to a hundred percent compared to other provinces. Compared to other -- several other provinces, this is a high level of adulteration. This adulteration rate indicates that while heroin is generally imported mostly pure, it's most often adulterated with fentanyl or analogues prior to being trafficked. This is consistent with CISC's understanding that some organized crime groups in B.C. are involved in both heroin and fentanyl criminal markets, meaning that they are polydrug in their focus.

The Figure 10 also notes the number of organized crime groups involved in the roles of distribution and importation. Once again, keeping in mind, as noted by the asterisks on the figure, that some organized crime groups are involved in multiple roles.

British Columbia has the highest number of organized crime groups, denoting 55, once again, according to the data when the report was published, involved in the distribution role, with this being reflective of the organized crime groups in B.C. playing a regional or multiprovince supply role.

And the figure additionally relates that B.C. has the highest number of organized crime groups, with the number 12, involved in importation, once again, of heroin, which is consistent with the geographic location, as well as the vast international -- international travel of both persons and cargo.

Those would be the comments with regards to Figure 10, Mr. McCleery.

- Q Thank you.
- MR. McCLEERY: Madam Registrar, can we move forward now to page 25?
- And Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, you'll see on the screen before you a figure labelled Figure 11. I wonder if you might comment on what this figure is intended to communicate and what it tells us about the level of involvement of B.C. organized crime groups in the cannabis market, relative to other provinces?

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

MR. GILCHRIST: Yes, so Figure 11 is a graphical illustration, once again, a bar chart that shows the number of organized crime groups involved in the illicit cannabis market, and it provides that data according to province. In looking at the numbers, and of course, the figure shows that B.C. has a high number of organized crime group involved in the illicit cannabis market, with 78 organized crime groups having been assessed for this report.

There is significant overlap between the illicit cannabis market and other illicit drug markets. Organized crime groups are very polydrug in their criminal market approach. For example, if I can take you to page 3 of the same report, as noted on page 3:

Approximately 44 percent of assessed organized crime groups were involved in the [illicit] cannabis market leading up to the implementation of the *Cannabis Act*. Almost all of these groups are also involved in at least one other illicit drug market... expected to decrease incrementally over the long term (3+ years), as the licit market supply increases.

So speaking to the polydrug focus. And Figure 11, once again, back on page 25, as well, it's indicative of the role played by British Columbia organized crime groups in trafficking illicit cannabis into other provinces. In particular, but not limited to the western provinces.

- O Thank you.
- MR. McCLEERY: Madam Registrar, I think we can take that report down now. Thank you.
- Q Chief Superintendent Gilchrist, there's one other market I'd like to ask you about. The market for fentanyl and its analogues is identified in the report as a high-risk market, but doesn't include the kind of data or figures that we've reviewed. Are you able to comment on the sort of level of involvement of British Columbia organized crime groups in the fentanyl market?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I have a few comments, yes. I would take you to page 1 on the estimate. And one of the highlights you'll notice is that the heroin

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

market, assessed as a medium threat, is being displaced by fentanyl and its analogues in provinces such as British Columbia. So, meaning that the prevalence of fentanyl is to the extent where it's actually displacing other illicit drug markets.

I would take you to page 2, middle of the page, one of the findings of the report:

Organized crime involvement in fentanyl has increased by 1500 percent since 2015, and entrenched organized crime groups, such as outlaw motorcycle gangs, are becoming more involved.

Followed by:

Independent criminal entrepreneurs... continue to be involved in the online procurement and trafficking of fentanyl from China via dark web marketplaces using virtual and cryptocurrencies.

China will remain the primary supplier for illicit fentanyl, its analogues and precursor chemicals to Canada, although increasing amounts will likely originate from Mexico, as Mexican cartels shift from heroin production to fentanyl production.

And:

Canada is increasingly used as a transshipment country for fentanyl due to a rising international demand.

So those were all findings, you know, high-level findings coming out of the drug estimate at the time that it was produced. Once again, because it's a national assessment, it doesn't specifically delve into, you know, providing a detailed assessment specific to British Columbia. However, those findings align with our general understanding of the fentanyl criminal market and -- across Canada. And certainly it's -- it's well-known by CISC, the evolution of the fentanyl market and how it has -- you know, British

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

Columbia was the early phases of it and then watching its migration into -- into other provinces.

Q Thank you.

MR. GILCHRIST: The other comment I would have is in relation to the close association, or when we look at it through the lens of polydrug involvement, many of the organized crime groups that are involved in fentanyl are also involved in methamphetamine, and so once again, through a British Columbia lens, the -- the statistics, which I believe we've covered in relation to methamphetamine, can somewhat be taken into consideration in relation to fentanyl.

Those would be -- I don't have hard statistics to point to British Columbia, simply because the report was national in nature.

- Thank you for that. The statistics that we've reviewed in these different figures all seem to suggest a particularly high level of involvement by British Columbia organized crime groups in these various drug markets. As we said, the methamphetamine market seemed to be more British Columbia groups in each sector of the market relative to other provinces and similar trends in the other markets. Has the CISC conducted any analysis that would provide any insight into why there seems to be such a high level of involvement in drug markets by organized crime groups in this province in particular?
- MR. GILCHRIST: A couple of comments, or a few comments to offer in relation to -- to organized crime groups in B.C. and their involvement compared to other provinces. As we've discussed today, the various drug markets that we've covered, in general, organized crime groups in British Columbia play a very significant role in these illicit drug markets.

In addition to drug distribution, B.C. organized crime group roles typically extend into more sophisticated criminal roles, involving importation, exportation and manufacturing. In general, there's a high level of linkages between B.C. organized crime groups and international organized crime groups.

I've spoken briefly about it, however, once again in this same context, I think it's

Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

- important to recall that B.C.'s organized crime group level of involvement in the illicit drug markets is consistent with CISC's long-standing viewpoint of the overall organized crime landscape in Canada, with key criminal hubs being in the B.C. Lower Mainland, the Greater Toronto area in Ontario, the Greater Montreal area in Quebec, and increasingly within the Province of Alberta.
- Q Thank you. And based on CISC intelligence and analysis or perhaps your previous experience, would you expect this elevated level of involvement in illicit drug markets to result in an elevated level of proceeds of crime generated in this province? In other words, given this -- the number of organized crime groups we have involved in illicit drug markets, is there going to be more money to be laundered in this province than elsewhere?
- MR. GILCHRIST: I think that's -- your last comment there I think essentially responds to that question in that, you know, the illicit drug markets are largely cash-based criminal markets, and so as a result, when you have significant particularly higher-level threat, well-organized crime groups that are playing, you know, key roles in the illicit drug markets, that translates into significant amounts of cash being accumulated as a result of the illicit drug activities. And as we've discussed earlier today, those groups that are generating significant quantities of cash through criminal activities do have a need to launder those funds in order to move them into their legitimate -into legitimate funds.
- Q Maybe now, just before we break for the day, I'll turn to Mr. Wellwood to see if the Provincial Bureau can offer any insight into those questions. Does the Provincial Bureau's analysis or intelligence have anything to offer to help explain why we see this elevated level of involvement in illicit drug markets by British Columbia organized crime groups?
- MR. WELLWOOD: I don't have anything in particular to add outside of Chief Superintendent Gilchrist's comments as to the contributing factors as to why we have an elevated or higher level of

 Robert Gilchrist, Leslie Stevens, Ryland Wellwood (for the Commission)

Examination by Mr. McCleery, Counsel for the Commission

involvement in illicit drug-generated proceeds. I would echo the sentiment regarding the international context notably from the perspective of illicit drugs, but then any potential associated money laundering, we're looking at the figure regarding methamphetamine -- I think it was on page 9 of the report -- there was note of exportation. That, you know, again, another -- another component requiring an international context to the criminal activity predicate offence or money laundering activity, proceeds of crime itself.

- Q Thank you. And has the Provincial Bureau conducted any analysis that would help us to understand the connections between groups involved in drug crime and groups involved in money laundering, the overlap perhaps between those groups?
- MR. WELLWOOD: We have examined overlap between money laundering and illicit drug market activity. So in addition to polydrug activity, the money laundering aspect, as well. I would -- I can provide some comments with some basic stats. 17 of the groups involved in money laundering are also known to be involved in the cocaine illicit drug market. 10 of the organized crime groups involved in money laundering are known to be involved in the methamphetamine market. Again, in various capacities for both cocaine and methamphetamine. And a total of eight organized crime groups are known to be involved polydrug in both the cocaine and methamphetamine markets, as well as money laundering.
- MR. McCLEERY: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to launch into a new topic area. I note that it's very nearly 1:30.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, Mr.

 McCleery. I think what we'll do then is adjourn
 until tomorrow at 9:30. Thank you.
- THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for the day and we will commence at 9:30 a.m. on June 10th, 2020. Thank you.

(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO JUNE 10, 2020, AT 9:30 A.M.)